

MATTER AS CURVATURE AND TORSION OF GENERAL METRIC-AFFINE SPACE

A. E. Karpelson

I. Introduction

Our objective is to obtain equations of the unified field theory, that describe the distribution and motion of matter, in other words, the curvature and torsion of 4-dimensional general metric-affine space, and to solve these equations for some special cases.

We proceed on basis of the unified field theory (developed by Einstein, Eddington, Weyl, Schrodinger, Heisenberg, and others), assuming that all physical fields in nature are just the manifestations of some unified field. Following Clifford, Cartan, Einstein, Wheeler, Ivanenko, and others, we assume that this unified field is nothing else but the curved space-time with torsion [1-7].

Such a curved and torsional 4-dimensional space-time can be represented by 4-dimensional general metric-affine space G_4 . The particular case of this space, the Riemann-Cartan space U_4 , was used in the Einstein-Cartan theory [2, 5-6, 8], and its structure is characterized by such independent geometrical parameters, as the affine connections Γ_{kl}^i and the fundamental metric tensor g^{ik} [2-5, 9-12].

II. Field equations

We want to derive the equations describing curvature and torsion of that space-time and, subsequently, the distribution and motion of matter. The most natural way to obtain these equations is to use the principle of least action [6, 13].

To do this, one should, first of all, choose the type of Lagrangian L . We will use the simplest Lagrangian

$$L = \sqrt{-g} R, \quad g = |g_{ik}|, \quad R = R_{ik} g^{ik}, \quad R_{ik} = R_{ilk}{}^l = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{il}^l}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{lm}^m - \Gamma_{il}^m \Gamma_{mk}^l, \quad (1)$$

where $R_{ijk}{}^l$ is the curvature tensor of the space.

The possibility to use such a Lagrangian discussed in many articles, however the applied arguments often contradict each other [2-3, 5-6, 9-10, 14-16]. At the time being, different authors discuss the advantages and disadvantages of Lagrangian (1) and propose to use various novel more complex Lagrangians, however, each of them, in turn, has new significant drawbacks. Einstein in [2] asserted, that more complex Lagrangians should be analyzed only if there are some physical causes based on the experimental data. At

present, there are no such causes, so we will use the simplest and physically grounded Lagrangian (1).

The field equations for G_4 space can be derived from the variational principle for this Lagrangian, where metric g^{ik} and affine connections Γ_{kl}^i are considered *a priori* the independent variables [10, 12, 15-16]. Therefore, we will vary action S by metric g^{ik} and connections Γ_{kl}^i independently (the Palatini principle)

$$S = \int L d^4x, \quad (2)$$

where d^4x is the 4-dimensional volume element.

Variation by metric g^{ik} yields

$$R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} R = 0 \quad (3)$$

Expressions (3) are well-known Einstein equations [6, 13] with zero right-hand side, however now they are obtained not for the Riemannian space V_4 but for general metric-affine space G_4 .

Using the results from [2-3, 6, 10, 15-16] and varying action (2) by connections Γ_{kl}^i , we obtain

$$\Gamma_{lm}^j g^{lm} \delta^k_i + \Gamma_{il}^l g^{jk} - \Gamma_{li}^j g^{lk} - \Gamma_{il}^k g^{jl} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} g^{jk})}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\delta^k_i}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} g^{jl})}{\partial x^l} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\delta^k_i = \begin{cases} 0, \dots, i \neq k \\ 1, \dots, i = k \end{cases}$ is the Kronecker tensor.

Thus, for G_4 space, not assuming *a priori* any correlation between g^{ik} and Γ_{kl}^i , we obtained: the Einstein equations (3), as result of variation of action (2) by g^{ik} , and equations (4) connecting geometrical characteristics of the space, as result of variation of this action by Γ_{kl}^i . System (4) contains 64 algebraic equations with 64 unknown functions Γ_{kl}^i and allows, in principle, determining all the connections.

Note that equations, similar to (4), were obtained in [3, 10, 16], where G_4 space and the non-symmetric unified field theories, were discussed.

Equations (3)-(4) obtained for G_4 space describe curvature and torsion of the space or, in accordance with our initial assumption, the distribution and motion of matter.

Note, that general relativity equations, equations of the Einstein-Cartan theory of gravitation with torsion [2, 5-6, 9-10, 15-16] and equations of the different non-symmetric topological gravitational theories [11-12, 14] are dual. Their left-hand side is related only to geometry of the space-time and the right-hand side is connected only with matter, which is just the external source of space-time geometry. Equations (3)-(4) do not possess such a dualism: they operate only with the geometrical parameters of G_4 space, i.e. they describe distribution and motion of the matter, which itself is just curvature and torsion of the space-time.

As a concrete application of the results obtained one can name the violation of conditions of the Hawking-Penrose singularity theorems in equations (3)-(4), unlike the Einstein equations in general relativity. And this violation leads, as will be shown further, to the solutions without singularities.

III. Spherically symmetric pure gravitational field

Equations (3)-(4) can be simplified and solved for spherically symmetric stationary field in G_4 space. For such a case in 4-dimensional spherical coordinates $x^0 = ct$, $x^1 = r$, $x^2 = \theta$, $x^3 = \varphi$ we have only four non-zero components of the metric tensor

$$g_{00} = \exp[\mu(r)], \quad g_{11} = -\exp[\lambda(r)], \quad g_{22} = -r^2, \quad g_{33} = -r^2 \sin^2 \theta, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu(r)$ and $\lambda(r)$ describing geometry of the space-time are the unknown functions which should be determined.

Substituting (5) into (4) find the following expressions for Γ_{jk}^i

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{\mu' - \lambda'}{2} + \Gamma_{11}^1, \quad \Gamma_{02}^0 = \Gamma_{22}^2, \quad \Gamma_{03}^0 = \Gamma_{33}^3, \quad \Gamma_{10}^0 = \frac{\mu'}{2}, \\ \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{\mu'}{2} \exp(\mu - \lambda), \quad \Gamma_{10}^1 = \Gamma_{00}^0, \quad \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2, \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -r \exp(-\lambda), \\ \Gamma_{33}^1 &= -r \sin^2 \theta \exp(-\lambda), \quad \Gamma_{13}^1 = \Gamma_{33}^3, \quad \Gamma_{21}^2 = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda'}{2} + \Gamma_{11}^1, \\ \Gamma_{12}^2 &= \frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{33}^2 = -\sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad \Gamma_{20}^2 = \Gamma_{00}^0, \quad \Gamma_{23}^2 = \Gamma_{33}^3, \\ \Gamma_{31}^3 &= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda'}{2} + \Gamma_{11}^1, \quad \Gamma_{32}^3 = \cot \theta + \Gamma_{22}^2, \quad \Gamma_{30}^3 = \Gamma_{00}^0, \quad \Gamma_{13}^3 = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{23}^3 = \cot \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

connections $\Gamma_{00}^0, \Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{22}^2, \Gamma_{33}^3$ are undetermined, all the rest Γ_{jk}^i are equal to zero, μ' and λ' denote the ordinary derivatives with respect to r .

The undetermined quantities Γ_{jk}^i appeared because the number of independent equations in system (3)-(4) in spherically symmetric stationary field, unlike general case, is less than number of the variables. Note that generically [10, 12, 15-16] in G_4 space, the

homothetic (segmental) curvature $\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}}$ is not equal to zero.

From (3), (5) and (6) one can obtain the following equations describing spherically symmetric stationary field in G_4 space:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} - \frac{1}{2} g_{00} R &= \left(\frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \exp(\mu - \lambda) + \frac{\exp(\mu)}{r^2} = \frac{\exp(\mu)}{r^2} [(r\lambda' - 1) \exp(-\lambda) + 1] = 0, \\ R_{11} - \frac{1}{2} g_{11} R &= \left(\frac{\mu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{\exp(\lambda)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} [(r\mu' + 1) \exp(-\lambda) - 1] = 0, \\ R_{22} - \frac{1}{2} g_{22} R &= \left[r\mu'' + \frac{r(\mu')^2}{2} - \frac{r\mu'\lambda'}{2} - \lambda' + \mu' \right] \frac{r \exp(-\lambda)}{2} = 0, \\ R_{33} - \frac{1}{2} g_{33} R &= \left[r\mu'' + \frac{r(\mu')^2}{2} - \frac{r\mu'\lambda'}{2} - \lambda' + \mu' \right] \frac{r \exp(-\lambda) \sin^2 \theta}{2} = 0 \\ R_{01} &= -R_{10} = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial r} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{02} = -R_{20} &= -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \theta} = 0, \\
R_{03} = -R_{30} &= -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \phi} = 0, \\
R_{12} = -R_{21} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \theta} = 0, \\
R_{13} = -R_{31} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \phi} = 0, \\
R_{23} = -R_{32} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \phi} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where μ'' denotes the second ordinary derivative with respect to r .

To solve system (7), one should make an assumption regarding undetermined connections $\Gamma_{00}^0, \Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{22}^2, \Gamma_{33}^3$.

To obtain from (6) and (7) the simplest of all possible solutions (i.e. solution related to minimum curvature and torsion of the space-time) we assume that

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = \Gamma_{33}^3 = 0 \tag{8}$$

Substitution of (8) into (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{\mu' - \lambda'}{2}, \quad \Gamma_{10}^0 = \frac{\mu'}{2}, \quad \Gamma_{00}^1 = \frac{\mu'}{2} \exp(\mu - \lambda), \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -r \exp(-\lambda), \\
\Gamma_{33}^1 &= -r \sin^2 \theta \exp(-\lambda), \quad \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{13}^3 = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{21}^2 = \Gamma_{31}^3 = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda'}{2}, \\
\Gamma_{33}^2 &= -\sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad \Gamma_{23}^2 = \Gamma_{32}^3 = \cot \theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

all the rest 52 quantities Γ_{jk}^i are equal to zero.

Assumption (8) leading to solution (9) can be physically explained in the following way. Different sets of Γ_{jk}^i are related to various degrees of the space-time curvature and torsion. Formulae (9) represent the simplest set of Γ_{jk}^i obtained by the only possible way. Any other set of Γ_{jk}^i not related to condition (8), will lead to a more complex solution. Thus, solution (9) is the simplest one and corresponds to minimum curvature and torsion of the space-time, which describes pure gravitational spherically symmetric stationary field. Any other field (e. g. electromagnetic field) creates a gravitational field too. It means, that curvature and torsion of the space-time related to that “mixed” field, and the corresponding set of connections Γ_{jk}^i , must be more complex.

A gravitational field is the unique one among different physical fields, which does not lead to the appearance of some other fields. In this sense, it is the “simplest” field, i.e. it corresponds to the space-time with minimum curvature and torsion.

Substituting (9) into (7) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} [r \lambda' - 1] \exp(-\lambda) + 1 = 0 \\ [r \mu' + 1] \exp(-\lambda) - 1 = 0 \\ r \mu'' + \frac{r}{2} (\mu')^2 - \frac{r}{2} \mu' \lambda' - \lambda' + \mu' = 0 \end{cases}, \tag{10}$$

Equations (10) coincide with the Schwarzschild equations for the centrally symmetric field without matter in the Riemannian space V_4 [13]. And it is not surprising, since both systems of equations are obtained for pure gravitational spherically symmetric field.

The solution of equations (10) is

$$\lambda = \ln\left(\frac{r}{r+C_1}\right), \quad \mu = \ln\left(C_2 \frac{r+C_1}{r}\right), \quad (11)$$

$$\exp(\mu) = g_{00} = C_2 \frac{r+C_1}{r}, \quad \exp(\lambda) = -g_{11} = \frac{r}{r+C_1},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the constants determined by boundary conditions.

Solution (11) in G_4 space corresponds to pure gravitational spherically symmetric stationary field. From the physical point of view, it is clear that such a field can exist either at the very large r values, or very small r . In the first case, we have the extremely dispersed matter or, in other words, the gravitational waves propagating far away from their sources. Subsequently, the space-time for such waves must be maximally uniform and simple. In the second case, we obtain the extremely compressed matter, i.e. pure gravitational ball, inside which no other forms of matter exist. Moreover, no transformations should occur inside such a ball. Any appearance of some other fields within the ball area will violate the spherical symmetry.

In transitional case (at the medium values of r) a regular matter must exist – i.e. various elementary particles and fields. Solution (11) is not valid for a transitional case. In order to answer the question - whether or not the gravitational ball appears - we should analyze just such a transitional case. Scenario of the development depends on mass, energy, temperature, pressure, and other physical parameters of the compressing matter. However, to find the appropriate solution of equations (7), one can not use now condition (8) which is valid only for pure gravitational field. Therefore, the transitional case for spherically symmetric field will be described by complex equations, reduced from the condition related to matter under consideration, and equations (7).

To obtain solution for the large r from (11), one can use ordinary boundary conditions: the Newton's expressions for metric at $r \rightarrow \infty$ [13]. It yields a solution coinciding with the Schwarzschild one for spherically symmetric stationary pure gravitational field far away from its material sources

$$g_{00} = \exp(\mu) = \frac{r-r_g}{r}, \quad g_{11} = -\exp(\lambda) = \frac{-r}{r-r_g}, \quad (12)$$

where r_g is the gravitational radius.

This match confirms the correctness of general formulae (11) and our idea that the simplest solution of equations (7), that is equations (10) and set (9) of connections Γ_{jk}^i , are really related to simplest physical field (pure gravitational), i. e. to space-time with minimum curvature and torsion.

To obtain physically reasonable solution for gravitational ball (i. e. for very small r), one should use formulae (11) and boundary condition, which exclude the singularity of metric at $r = 0$. Such a condition is natural for any closed physical theory. To satisfy it,

we should choose from (11) coefficients $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 1$. Then we arrive to the following solution for gravitational ball

$$g_{00} = \exp(\mu) = 1, \quad g_{11} = -\exp(\lambda) = -1 \quad (13)$$

This result corresponds to the well-known Galilean metric. It means, that inside an extremely compressed gravitational ball there is just a plane space-time without any curvature and torsion. It can be explained in the following way. In spherically symmetric field the density of matter and energy is inversely related to radius r due to the magnitude of internal pressure. It means that when r decreases, this ball becomes more dense and uniform - e. g. plasma, nuclear matter, neutron matter, etc. At this stage, the continuous “reconstruction” of the space-time occurs increasing the density, homogeneity and simplicity of structure, which is related to energetic and thermodynamic parameters. At last, at the very small r the region appears where simplicity and homogeneity achieve maximum. The space-time in this area is absolutely flat and possesses the Galilean metric. However, such a plane space-time means that even a gravitational field does not exist in this area. And this is natural, since there is no gravitational field in the center of any spherically symmetric ball: attraction of the external regions leads to their mutual compensation.

Solution (13) obtained in G_4 space gives, unlike the general relativity equations valid in V_4 space, no singularity in spherically symmetric field. In other words, for that field in G_4 space, such disputable phenomena, as collapse and black hole formation, do not exist.

IV. Uniform isotropic pure gravitational field

Now, simplify and solve equations (3)-(4) for cosmological problem concerning the universe evolution. Analyzing equations for uniform isotropic space, we will use the method described in [13]. Start with the closed isotropic model. For this model the non-zero components of metric tensor in 4-dimensional “spherical” coordinates $(\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi)$ are

$$g_{00} = a^2, \quad g_{11} = -a^2, \quad g_{22} = -a^2 \sin^2 \chi, \quad g_{33} = -a^2 \sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta, \quad (14)$$

where $a(\eta)$ is the space curvature radius depending on coordinate η , which is related to time t by formula $c dt = a d\eta$, and c is the speed of light.

Substituting (14) into (4), obtain the expressions for affine connections Γ_{jk}^i

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \Gamma_{11}^1, \quad \Gamma_{11}^0 = \Gamma_{01}^1 = \Gamma_{02}^2 = \Gamma_{03}^3 = \frac{a'}{a}, \quad \Gamma_{22}^0 = \frac{a'}{a} \sin^2 \chi, \\ \Gamma_{33}^0 &= \frac{a'}{a} \sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta, \quad \Gamma_{02}^0 = \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2, \quad \Gamma_{03}^0 = \Gamma_{13}^1 = \Gamma_{23}^2 = \Gamma_{33}^3, \\ \Gamma_{10}^1 &= \Gamma_{20}^2 = \Gamma_{30}^3 = \Gamma_{00}^0, \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -\sin \chi \cos \chi, \quad \Gamma_{33}^1 = -\sin \chi \cos \chi \sin^2 \theta, \\ \Gamma_{12}^2 &= \Gamma_{13}^3 = \cot \chi, \quad \Gamma_{21}^2 = \Gamma_{31}^3 = \cot \chi + \Gamma_{11}^1, \quad \Gamma_{33}^2 = -\sin \theta \cos \theta, \\ \Gamma_{23}^3 &= \cot \theta, \quad \Gamma_{32}^3 = \cot \theta + \Gamma_{22}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

connections $\Gamma_{00}^0, \Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{22}^2, \Gamma_{33}^3$ are undetermined, all the rest Γ_{jk}^i are equal to zero, and a' denotes the ordinary derivative with respect to η .

The undetermined quantities Γ_{jk}^i appeared because the number of independent equations in system (3)-(4) in the uniform isotropic field, unlike general case, is less than number of the variables.

From (3), (14) and (15) one can obtain the following equations describing uniform isotropic field in G_4 space

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{00} - \frac{1}{2} g_{00} R &= (a')^2 + a^2 = 0 \quad , \\
R_{11} - \frac{1}{2} g_{11} R &= R_{22} - \frac{1}{2} g_{22} R = R_{33} - \frac{1}{2} g_{33} R = 2a a'' - (a')^2 + a^2 = 0 \quad , \\
R_{01} = -R_{10} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \chi} = 0 \quad , \\
R_{02} = -R_{20} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad , \\
R_{03} = -R_{30} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \phi} = 0 \quad , \\
R_{12} = -R_{21} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \chi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad , \\
R_{13} = -R_{31} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \chi} = 0 \quad , \\
R_{23} = -R_{32} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where a'' denotes the second ordinary derivative with respect to η .

To solve system (16), one should again make an assumption regarding undetermined connections Γ_{00}^0 , Γ_{11}^1 , Γ_{22}^2 , Γ_{33}^3 .

The simplest solution can be obtained from (15) and (16) if we again use condition (8). Its substitution into (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{11}^0 = \Gamma_{01}^1 = \Gamma_{02}^2 = \Gamma_{03}^3 &= \frac{a'}{a} \quad , \quad \Gamma_{22}^0 = \frac{a'}{a} \sin^2 \chi \quad , \quad \Gamma_{33}^0 = \frac{a'}{a} \sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta \quad , \\
\Gamma_{22}^1 &= -\sin \chi \cos \chi \quad , \quad \Gamma_{33}^1 = -\sin \chi \cos \chi \sin^2 \theta \quad , \quad \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{21}^2 = \Gamma_{13}^3 = \Gamma_{31}^3 = \cot \chi \quad , \\
\Gamma_{33}^2 &= -\sin \theta \cos \theta \quad , \quad \Gamma_{23}^3 = \Gamma_{32}^3 = \cot \theta \quad , \quad \text{all the rest 49 quantities } \Gamma_{jk}^i \text{ are equal to zero.}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Such a solution is again related to minimum curvature and torsion of the space-time or, in other words, to pure gravitational field in uniform isotropic G_4 space.

The homothetic curvature $\Omega_{\mu\nu}$ for connections (17) is not equal to zero, as it should be in general metric-affine space G_4 .

Note once more, that different sets of Γ_{jk}^i satisfying (15), correspond to various degrees of the space-time curvature and torsion, that is describe different physical fields. The simplest set (17) of connections Γ_{jk}^i , obtained by the only possible way, describes the "simplest" physical field, i. e. pure gravitational one.

Substitution (8) into (16) yields

$$\begin{cases} (a')^2 + a^2 = 0 \\ 2aa'' - (a')^2 + a^2 = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (18)$$

Solution of equations (18) can be written as

$$a(\eta) = C_3 \sin \eta + C_4 \cos \eta, \quad (19)$$

where C_3 and C_4 are the constants.

Similar calculation for the open model of universe, based on formulae analogous to (14)-(16) with the appropriate changes, yields

$$a(\eta) = C_5 \exp(\eta) + C_6 \exp(-\eta), \quad (20)$$

where C_5 and C_6 are the constants.

Thus, we obtained formulae (19) and (20) determining curvature radius $a(\eta)$ of space G_4 as a function of “spherical time coordinate” η for two models of universe (closed and open).

Formulas (19) and (20) for space curvature radius $a(\eta)$ are valid only for pure gravitational field. However again (as for spherically symmetric case), it is clear from physical point of view, that such a field can exist either for very large r, a, t (an extremely dispersed field or gravitational waves) or for very small r, a, t (an extremely compressed field or gravitational “cluster”).

For transitional case, when the ordinary matter exists, solutions (19) and (20) are not valid. At the same time, to determine what type of cosmological model (closed or open) should be used, we have to investigate just this transitional case. The correct scenario of its development will depend upon mass, energy and other parameters of the matter under examination. However, in order to do this, we must solve equations (16) without condition (8), since this condition is valid only for pure gravitational field.

Analyzing (19) and (20) for very large r, a, t , we obtain that space curvature radius $a(\eta)$ will infinitely increase with time for the open model and oscillate for the closed one. It means (e.g. for the open model), that at the final stage of its development the universe or (which is more accurate) the metagalaxy will infinitely expand and “straighten”, as it should be for uniform isotropic pure gravitational field.

For very small r, a, t (i. e. at the initial stage of the metagalaxy development) for both models we obtain pure gravitational area (with the Galilean metric), whose space curvature radius $a(\eta)$ grows up with time.

The Galilean metric inside this area means that within the region the space-time is plane, non-curved and non-torsional, i. e. even pure gravitational field does not exist in that area. Such a conclusion is physically reasonable, because there should not be any gravitational field inside the extremely compressed small uniform and isotropic area, since the attraction of external regions leads to mutual gravitational compensation. This is an initial stage of the metagalaxy evolution, and it can be named e.g. “start of an expansion” or “big bang”.

Emphasize, that analyzing either spherically symmetric or uniform isotropic fields in G_4 space for very small r , we obtained the similar results: an extremely compressed pure gravitational ball, inside which space-time has the Galilean metric. This conclusion confirms the idea [15] that at $t = 0$ the metagalaxy and the collapsing star are identical,

and that generically the process of collapse for massive gravitational systems is similar for a star, a nebula or a metagalaxy.

It is natural to assume that the metagalaxy, in turn, can be treated as the “closed” region of some infinite and eternal Universe (or “Polycosmos”), which is the most general formation in nature. In such a Universe there is a great variety of different forms of matter, i. e. the variety of different types of curved and torsional space-time which permanently move, interact and vary. Certainly, our metagalaxy is not an exception; there are an unlimited number of metagalaxies in the Universe. The way of development of each of them depends on its dimensions, mass, energy, entropy and other parameters, and also on the interactions with other metagalaxies. This reasoning coincide with the ideas described in [17].

V. Spherically symmetric field of the massless fluid with spin

In section III we solved equations (7) for the simplest spherically symmetric case using condition (8) and obtained a solution for pure gravitational field. Now we will solve these equations for a material field.

Four first equations (7) coincide with (10), i. e. they describe spherically symmetric gravitational field. Their solution is given by formulae (11), that is metric components g_{ii} are determined. All connections Γ_{jk}^i except Γ_{ii}^i are calculated in (6). Four connections Γ_{ii}^i should be obtained from six last equations (7) describing spherically symmetric distribution of matter.

Equations 5, 6 and 7 from system (7) have the unique solution $\Gamma_{00}^0 = const$. Three last equations from (7) do not have the unique solution. They give various solutions for Γ_{11}^1 , Γ_{22}^2 , Γ_{33}^3 which are related to different material fields.

In order to determine from (7) quantities Γ_{ii}^i , connected to some material spherically symmetric stationary field, one can use the following technique. Compare unified field equations (7) in G_4 space with equations describing the same material field with the help of energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} in a more simple space, determine Γ_{ii}^i in this space through T_{ik} , and make some conclusions regarding Γ_{ii}^i in G_4 space.

Since equations (7) are anti-symmetric, the other system of equations containing tensor T_{ik} , should be anti-symmetric too. Moreover, tensor T_{ik} , describing this spherically symmetric stationary material field, should be anti-symmetric.

On the one hand, any stationary material field, according to Einstein [2], should be massless. On the other hand, only matter with spin has anti-symmetric tensor T_{ik} . It means that only massless fluid with spin can play a role of the desired material field. However, to describe such a fluid, only the Riemann-Cartan space U_4 can be used, because only Einstein-Cartan theory analyses matter with spin.

Two systems of equations (in G_4 and U_4 spaces) must be identical because both give just different descriptions of the same phenomenon. The reasoning of Ponomarev et al. in [15] confirms the idea that these two systems are really tantamount. Their identity allows determining the unknown connections Γ_{ii}^i in (7) by knowing Γ_{ii}^i in U_4 space.

First of all, one should obtain the system of Einstein-Cartan equations describing spherically symmetric stationary massless fluid with spin in U_4 space. To do it, start from Γ_{jk}^i calculations in U_4 space for spherically symmetric stationary field using the equations [10, 15-16]:

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} + S_{jk}^i - S_{kj}^i + S_{jk}^i, \quad (21)$$

where $S_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{jk}^i - \Gamma_{kj}^i)$ is the Cartan's torsion tensor, three tensors S_{jk}^i , S_{kj}^i , S_{jk}^i are connected by indices alteration, and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}$ are the connections in the Riemannian V_4 space for spherically symmetric stationary field [13].

Equations (21) have the following solution

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{10}^1 &= \Gamma_{20}^2 = \Gamma_{30}^3 = \Gamma_{00}^0, & \Gamma_{03}^0 &= \Gamma_{13}^1 = \Gamma_{23}^2 = \Gamma_{33}^3, & \Gamma_{02}^0 &= \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2, \\ \Gamma_{32}^3 &= \cot \theta + \Gamma_{22}^2, & \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{\mu' - \lambda'}{2} + \Gamma_{11}^1, & \Gamma_{21}^2 &= \Gamma_{31}^3 = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda'}{2} + \Gamma_{11}^1, \\ \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{\mu'}{2} \exp(\mu - \lambda), & \Gamma_{22}^1 &= -r \exp(-\lambda), & \Gamma_{33}^1 &= -r \sin^2 \theta \exp(-\lambda), \\ \Gamma_{33}^2 &= -\sin \theta \cos \theta, & \text{connections } \Gamma_{00}^0, \Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{22}^2, \Gamma_{33}^3 & \text{ are undetermined,} \\ & \text{all the rest } \Gamma_{jk}^i & \text{ are equal to zero.} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

After that the components of curvature tensor R_{ik} are calculated by formula (1). And finally, system of the Einstein-Cartan equations in U_4 space for spherically symmetric stationary massless fluid with spin is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} - \frac{1}{2} g_{00} R &= \left(\frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \exp(\mu - \lambda) + \frac{\exp(\mu)}{r^2} = \frac{\exp(\mu)}{r^2} [(r\lambda' - 1) \exp(-\lambda) + 1] = 0, \\ R_{11} - \frac{1}{2} g_{11} R &= \left(\frac{\mu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{\exp(\lambda)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} [(r\mu' + 1) \exp(-\lambda) - 1] = 0, \\ R_{22} - \frac{1}{2} g_{22} R &= \left[r\mu'' + \frac{r(\mu')^2}{2} - \frac{r\mu'\lambda'}{2} - \lambda' + \mu' \right] \frac{r \exp(-\lambda)}{2} = 0, \\ R_{33} - \frac{1}{2} g_{33} R &= \left[r\mu'' + \frac{r(\mu')^2}{2} - \frac{r\mu'\lambda'}{2} - \lambda' + \mu' \right] \frac{r \exp(-\lambda) \sin^2 \theta}{2} = 0, \\ R_{01} &= -R_{10} = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial r} = kT_{01}, \\ R_{02} &= -R_{20} = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \theta} = kT_{02}, \\ R_{03} &= -R_{30} = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \varphi} = kT_{03}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{12} = -R_{21} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \theta} = kT_{12} \ , \\
R_{13} = -R_{31} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \varphi} = kT_{13} \ , \\
R_{23} = -R_{32} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \varphi} = kT_{23} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where T_{ik} are the components of energy-momentum tensor of massless spinning fluid in U_4 space, $k = 8G/c^4 = 2.07 \cdot 10^{-48} \text{ s}^2/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}$, $G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \cdot \text{s}^2$ is the gravitational constant.

Equations (23) written in U_4 space are similar to equations (7) in G_4 space: the first four equations in both systems match, and the left-hand sides of remaining six equations in both systems are identical.

The similarity between systems (7) and (23) allows determining connections Γ_{ii}^i in (7) in G_4 space, if connections Γ_{ii}^i in (23) in U_4 space are calculated (i.e. expressed through tensor T_{ik} components). The fact that equations (7) and (23) are tantamount and describe one and the same physical field, allows assuming that the solutions (i.e. expressions for Γ_{ii}^i in U_4 and G_4 spaces) should be identical.

To solve system (23) and express Γ_{ii}^i through T_{ik} , one should, first of all, obtain energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} of spherically symmetric stationary massless spinning fluid.

To calculate tensor T_{ik} the following formulae from [6, 18] can be used

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{ik} &= g_{il} g_{km} T^{lm}, \quad T^{lm} = \frac{\partial F^{lm\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^l F^{\beta m\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^m F^{l\beta\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha F^{lm\beta} + (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\beta - \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\beta) F^{lm\alpha}, \\
F^{\mu\nu\alpha} &= S^{\mu\nu\alpha} - S^{\nu\alpha\mu} + S^{\alpha\mu\nu}, \quad S^{\alpha\beta\mu} = S^{\alpha\beta} u^\mu, \\
S^{\alpha\beta} &= (S^{23}, S^{31}, S^{12}) = [A(r), B(r), C(r)], \\
(S^{32}, S^{13}, S^{21}) &= [-A(r), -B(r), -C(r)], \quad u^\mu = \left(\frac{1}{g_{00}}, 0, 0, 0 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $S^{\alpha\beta}$ is the internal angular momentum (spin) tensor of massless Weyssenhoff spinning fluid, functions $A(r)$, $B(r)$ and $C(r)$ are the components of spin vector [18] depending only on radius r , u^μ is the 4-dimensional velocity for stationary field.

Formulae (24) allow obtaining T_{ik} components of spherically symmetric stationary massless Weyssenhoff fluid with spin.

$$T_{01} = -T_{10} = T_{02} = -T_{20} = T_{03} = -T_{30} = 0, \quad T_{12} = -T_{21} = 3\Gamma_{00}^0 Cr^2 \exp(\lambda - \mu), \tag{25}$$

$$T_{13} = -T_{31} = 3\Gamma_{00}^0 Br^2 \sin^2 \theta \exp(\lambda - \mu), \quad T_{23} = -T_{32} = 3\Gamma_{00}^0 Ar^4 \sin^2 \theta \exp(-\mu),$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ and $\mu = \mu(r)$ are functions determined in (11); A , B and C are functions from (24).

Substituting (25) into (23), obtain equations, determining connections Γ_{ii}^i in U_4 space through components of tensor T_{ik} of the massless matter with spin:

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \text{const},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \theta} &= kT_{12} = 3Ck\Gamma_{00}^0 r^2 \exp(\lambda - \mu), \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \varphi} &= kT_{13} = -3Bk\Gamma_{00}^0 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \exp(\lambda - \mu), \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \varphi} &= kT_{23} = 3Ak\Gamma_{00}^0 r^4 \sin^2 \theta \exp(-\mu),
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(r)$, $\mu = \mu(r)$, $A=A(r)$, $B=B(r)$ and $C=C(r)$.

The simplest solution of (26) can be obtained if $A=B=0$. Then

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \text{const}, \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^1(r), \Gamma_{22}^2(r) = 3k\Gamma_{00}^0 \int r^2 \exp[\lambda(r) - \mu(r)] C(r) dr, \Gamma_{33}^3 = \Gamma_{33}^3(\varphi) \tag{27}$$

Formulae (27) determine connections Γ_{ii}^i of spherically symmetric stationary U_4 space filled with massless Weyssenhoff fluid.

We assume that the same expressions for Γ_{ii}^i should be in G_4 space, since equations (7) and (23) are tantamount and describe one and the same phenomenon. As a result, the final solution of equations (7) in G_4 space for spherically symmetric stationary field of massless fluid with spin is given by formulae (5), (6), (11), (27).

Thus, the geometry (i.e. metric g_{ii} and all connections Γ_{jk}^i) of G_4 space filled with massless Weyssenhoff fluid is determined through spherical coordinates r, θ, φ and spin vector component $C(r)$ of the massless fluid with spin.

VI. Uniform isotropic field of the massless fluid with spin

In section IV, using condition (8), we solved equations (16) for the simplest uniform isotropic case and obtained a solution for pure gravitational field. Now solve these equations for the material field.

Two first equations (16) coincide with (18), i.e. they describe uniform isotropic gravitational field. Their solution is given by formulae (19)-(20), i.e. metric components g_{ii} are determined. All connections Γ_{jk}^i , except Γ_{ii}^i , are calculated in (15). Four connections Γ_{ii}^i should be obtained from six last equations (16) describing uniform isotropic matter. These equations do not have the unique solution, they give various solutions for $\Gamma_{00}^0, \Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{22}^2, \Gamma_{33}^3$ which are related to different material fields.

In order to determine from (16) quantities Γ_{ii}^i , related to some material uniform isotropic field, one can use technique described in section V for spherically symmetric field. Firstly, obtain in U_4 space the equations tantamount to equations (16) in G_4 space and describing the same uniform isotropic material field. Secondly, determine tensor T_{ik} of the material field. Thirdly, express Γ_{ii}^i in U_4 and G_4 spaces through T_{ik} components.

Acting as in case of spherically symmetric stationary field (see section V), we use massless fluid with spin in uniform isotropic space. To obtain system of the Einstein-Cartan equations describing massless Weyssenhoff fluid in uniform isotropic U_4 space,

one should calculate connections Γ_{jk}^i in this space, then determine curvature tensor R_{ik} , and finally get the desired equations.

These equations in U_4 space are tantamount to equations (16) in G_4 space, only their right-hand side contains energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} of massless fluid with spin. The difference between these two systems of equations is exactly the same as difference between equations (7) and (23) in spherically symmetric stationary field.

Tensor T_{ik} of massless Weyssenhoff fluid in uniform isotropic U_4 space was calculated by formulae (24). Substituting the results into the corresponding Einstein-Cartan equations in U_4 space, we arrived to the following equations determining connections Γ_{ii}^i in U_4 space through parameters A, B and C of massless fluid with spin:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \chi} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \theta} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{00}^0}{\partial \varphi} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \chi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \theta} &= ka^4 \sin^2 \chi \left[\left(\frac{C(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right)' + 3\Gamma_{00}^0 \frac{C(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right], \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}^1}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \chi} &= -ka^4 \sin^2 \chi \sin \theta \left[\left(\frac{B(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right)' + 3\Gamma_{00}^0 \frac{B(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right], \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{22}^2}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{33}^3}{\partial \theta} &= ka^4 \sin^4 \chi \sin^2 \theta \left[\left(\frac{A(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right)' + 3\Gamma_{00}^0 \frac{A(\eta)}{a(\eta)} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi$ are the 4-dimensional ‘‘spherical’’ coordinates; k in the constant from (23), space curvature radius $a = a(\eta)$; spin vector components of Weyssenhoff fluid $C=C(\eta)$, $B=B(\eta)$, $A=A(\eta)$; sign ' denotes the ordinary derivative with respect to η .

The simplest solution of (28) can be obtained if $A=B=0$, $C(\eta)=k_c a(\eta)$ and $\Gamma_{00}^0 = k_0 / a^4(\eta)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{00}^0 &= \Gamma_{00}^0(\eta) = \frac{k_0}{a^4(\eta)}, \quad \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^1(\chi), \quad \Gamma_{33}^3 = \Gamma_{33}^3(\varphi), \\
\Gamma_{22}^2 &= \Gamma_{22}^2(\chi) = kk_c k_0 \int \sin^2 \chi d\chi = kk_c k_0 \frac{\chi - \sin \chi \cos \chi}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where k_c and k_0 are the constants.

Formulae (29) determine connections Γ_{ii}^i of uniform isotropic U_4 space filled with massless Weyssenhoff fluid. We assume that the same expressions for Γ_{ii}^i should be in G_4 space.

As a result, the final solution of equations (16) in G_4 space for uniform isotropic field of massless fluid with spin is given by formulae (14), (15), (19)-(20), (29).

Thus, the geometry (i.e. metric g_{ii} and all connections Γ_{jk}^i) of G_4 space filled with massless Weyssenhoff fluid is determined through 4-dimensinal “spherical” coordinates $\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi$ and spin vector component $C(\eta)$ of this massless fluid with spin.

VII. About quantization of general equations (3)-(4)

At first sight, it seems that in order to quantize equations (3)-(4) one can apply method typically used to quantize an ordinary gravitational field [2, 15, 16]. As a result, from equations (3)-(4), describing curvature and torsion of the space-time (or distribution and motion of the matter), we will obtain the quantum equations for “the primary building blocks of everything”, i.e. for some “basic (primordial) particles” that form all the existing elementary particles. Such a “primordial particle” can be represented as a local concentration of curvature and torsion of the space-time.

However, firstly, at the time being, there is no rigorous and well-grounded method for quantization of gravitational field. Secondly (and that is the main point), it is not necessarily to quantize equations (3)-(4).

As a matter of fact, if we could quantize equations (3)-(4), the equations for wave functions and operators of the “primordial particles” would be obtained. However, such a description definitely assumes the probabilistic character of the “primordial particles”.

In quantum mechanics and quantum electrodynamics the probabilistic behavior of any particle is related to the impossibility to take into account various forces between this specified particle and all the other particles. It is obvious, that such interactions occur and that, unlike the macro-objects, they are significant for any micro-particle. However, the exact calculation of this phenomenon is impossible.

The situation with the “primordial particles” is absolutely different. The equations, describing in the most general way the curvature and torsion of G_4 space (or distribution and motion of the matter) must include all possible interactions among these particles. Nothing should be beyond the scope of such an approach. This is the physical meaning of equations (3)-(4) or their quantum counterparts. It means, no uncertainty should be in behavior of the “primordial particles”, however such uncertainty will be definitely present in the quantized equations.

This conflict will be easily overcome if we, in principle, refuse to quantize equations (3)-(4).

Such reasoning confirms the ideas of Einstein, Wheeler and others [2, 4], that only the deterministic classical model, but not quantum probabilistic one, should describe a physical field. Different authors [4, 7, 15, 16] expressed similar ideas concerning the principal classical character of, at least, a gravitational field.

VIII. Conclusions

In sections II-VII we analyzed different aspects of the unified geometrical field theory in general metric-affine space G_4 . The main results can be formulated as follows.

1. The various types of curvature and torsion in G_4 space are related to different physical fields. Expressions (3)-(4) are the general equations of that unified geometrical field theory. The idea confirms the hypothesis [2, 4], that “physics is the pure geometry”.
2. The simplest set of affine connections Γ_{jk}^i describes the space-time with minimum curvature and torsion or, in other words, the “simplest” physical field - pure gravitational field. More complex sets of Γ_{jk}^i represent more complex geometry of the space-time and therefore describe more complex material physical fields.
3. The solutions were obtained for pure gravitational field and massless Weyssenhoff fluid with spin in spherically symmetric stationary model and uniform isotropic model.
4. For both models (spherically symmetric and uniform isotropic) pure gravitational field can exist either at very large or very small distances.
5. Inside the extremely compressed spherically symmetric pure gravitational ball the space-time is plane and has the Galilean metric. There is neither collapse, nor black hole in such a field.
6. At the initial stage of its development the uniform isotropic metagalaxy was an extremely compressed pure gravitational area with the Galilean metric of space-time.
7. There is no necessity to quantize equations (3)-(4). They describe the evolution of matter in the most general way, indicating that any physical field (i.e. curved and torsional space-time) is a deterministic system.

REFERENCES

1. Heisenberg W. (1966). Introduction to the Unified Field Theory of Elementary Particles, Interscience, London.
2. Einstein A. (1955). Relativistic Theory of Nonsymmetric Field. The Meaning of Relativity. 5th ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton.
3. Einstein A., Kaufman B., (1955). “A new form of the general relativistic field equations”, Annals of mathematics, v. 4, pp. 129-138.
4. Wheeler J. A. (1962). Geometrodynamics, Academic Press, New-York.
5. Schrodinger E. (1950). Space-time Structure, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
6. De Sabbata V., Gasperini M. (1985). Introduction to Gravitation, World Scientific, Singapore.
7. De Sabbata V., Siravam C. (1994). Spin and Torsion in Gravitation, World Scientific, Singapore.
8. Schouten J. A. (1954). Tensor Analysis for Physicists. 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford.
9. Hehl F., (1973), “Spin and torsion in general relativity, part I”, General Relativity and Gravitation, v. 4, pp. 333-341; (1974), “Spin and torsion in general relativity, part II”, General Relativity and Gravitation, v. 5, pp. 491-504; (1978), “Metric-affine variation principles in general relativity”, General Relativity and Gravitation, v. 9, pp. 691-707.
10. Ponomarev V. N., Obuchov Yu. N., (1982), “The generalized Einstein-Maxwell theory of gravitation”, General Relativity and Gravitation, v. 14, pp. 309-330.

11. De Sabbata V., Melnikov V. N., Pronin P. I., (1992), "Theoretical approach to treatment of non-newtonian forces", *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, v. 88, pp. 623-661.
12. Poberii E. A., (1994), "Metric-affine scale-covariant gravity", *General Relativity and Gravitation*, v. 26, pp. 1011-1054.
13. Landau L. D., Lifshits E. M. (1975). *The Classical Theory of Field*. 4th ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford.
14. Obuchov Yu. N., Ponomarev V. N., Zhytnikov V. V., (1989), "Quadratic Poincare gauge theory of gravity", *General Relativity and Gravitation*, v. 21, pp. 1107-1142.
15. Ponomarev V. N., Barvinsky A. O., Obuchov Yu. N. (1985). *Geometro-dynamic Methods and Gauge Approach to the Theory of Gravitational Interactions*, Energoatomisdat, Moscow (in Russian).
16. Ivanenko D. D., Pronin P. I., Sardanashvily G. A. (1985). *Gauge Theory of Gravitation*, University Publishing House, Moscow (in Russian).
17. Rozentel I. L. (1988). *Big Bang and Big Bounce*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
18. Weyssenhoff J., Raabe A., (1947), "Relativistic dynamics of spin-fluids and spin-particles", *Acta Physica Polonica*, v. IX, pp. 7-18.