

The characterization problem for one class high order ordinary differential operators with periodic coefficients.

Efendiev R.F.

Institute Applied Mathematics.

Z.Khalilov, 23, 370148, Baku, Azerbaijan

rakibaz@yahoo.com

Mathematics Subject classification: 34B25, 34L05, 34L25, 47A40, 81U40

1. Introduction

The main purpose of the present work is solving the characterization problem which consist of identification of necessary and sufficient conditions on the scattering data ensuring that the reconstructed potential belongs to a particular class. In our case the potential belongs to Q_+^2 consisting of functions

$$p_\gamma(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \exp(inx) \quad , \quad \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\gamma |p_n| < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

which is subclass of the class Q^2 of all 2π periodic complex –valued functions is considered on the real axis \mathbb{R} , belonging to $L_2[0,2\pi]$

The object under consideration is the operator L , given by the differential expression

$$l(y) = (-1)^m y^{(2m)} + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} p_\gamma(x) y^{(\gamma)}(x) \quad (1.2)$$

in the $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$, with potentials $p_\gamma(x) \in Q_+^2$.

Note, that some characterizations for the Sturm-Luivvil operator in the class of real-valued potentials belonging to $L_1^1(\mathbb{R})$ ($L_\alpha^1(\mathbb{R})$ is the class of measurable potentials satisfying the condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx(1+|x|)^\alpha |p_\gamma(x)| < \infty$), has been given by Melin [9] and

Marchenko [8]. For more details reference may be found in [5,7,10].

The inverse problem for the potentials form of (1.1) firstly was formulated and solved in [1], where is shown, that the equation $l(y) = \lambda^{2m} y$, has the solution

$$\varphi(x, \lambda \omega_\tau) = e^{i\lambda \omega_\tau x} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} \frac{V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}}{n + \lambda \omega_\tau (1 - \omega_j)} e^{(i\lambda \omega_\tau + i\alpha)x}, \quad \tau = 0, 2m-1, \\ \omega_j = \exp(ij\pi / m). \quad (1.3)$$

and Wronscian of the system of solutions, being equal to $(i\lambda)^{m(2m-1)} A$, where

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \dots & \omega_{2m-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{2m-1} & \omega_2^{2m-1} & \dots & \omega_{2m-1}^{2m-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

is not zero at $\lambda \neq 0$.

The limit

$$\varphi_{nj}(x) \equiv \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda_{nj}} (\lambda + \lambda_{nj}) \varphi(x, \lambda), \quad \lambda_{nj} = -\frac{n}{1 - \omega_j}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}$$

also is a solution of the equation $l(y) = \lambda^{2m} y$, but is lineary dependent with $\varphi(x, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j)$.

Thus, the numbers $\tilde{S}_{nj}, n \in N, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}$, there exist, for which

$$\varphi_{nj}(x) = \tilde{S}_{nj} \varphi(x, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j), \quad (1.4)$$

Futher as was established by Gasymov M.G. [1] if

$$I. \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\tilde{S}_n| < \infty$$

$$II. \quad 4^{m-1} a_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\tilde{S}_n|}{n+1} = p < 1$$

where

$$a_m = \max_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq l \leq 2m-1 \\ 1 \leq n, r < \infty}} \frac{|(1 - \omega_j)(n+r)|}{|r(1 - \omega_j) - n(1 - \omega_l)\omega_j|}, \quad \tilde{S}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} n^{2m-2} |\tilde{S}_{nj}| \quad (1.5)$$

then unically defined functions $p_\gamma(x), \gamma = \overline{0, 2m-2}$ form of (1.1) exist for which the

numbers $\{\tilde{S}_n\}$ are calculated by formula (1.3)- (1.4). Further the full solution of this problem at $m=1$ was given in [5], where the authors proved the following

Theorem 1: In order to the given sequence of complex numbers be the set of spectral

data for the operator $L = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + p_0(x)$, with potential $p_0(x) \in Q_+^2$, it is necessary and

sufficient simultaneously satisfying the conditions

$$1) \quad \{n\hat{S}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_2;$$

2) Infinite determinant

$$D(z) \equiv \left\| \delta_{nk} + \frac{2\hat{S}_k}{n+k} e^{i\frac{n+k}{2}z} \right\|_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$$

exists (δ_{mn} -Cronekker's symbol), is continuous, is not equal to zero in the closed semi-

plane $\overline{C_+} = \{z : \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ and is analitical inside open semi-plane $C_+ = \{z : \text{Im } z > 0\}$.

In the present work the full inverse problem for the operator (1.2) with potential (1.1) is solved using methods of [2],[5].

Let's formulate the main result of the work.

Definition. Constructed by the help of formulae (1.4) sequence $\{\tilde{S}_{nj}\}_{n=1, j=1}^{\infty, 2m-1}$, is called as

a set of spectral data for the operator (1.2) with potential (1.1)

Thoerem 2. In order to the given sequence of complex numbers $\{\tilde{S}_{nj}\}_{n=1, j=1}^{\infty, 2m-1}$ to be a spectral data for the operator (1.2) with potential (1.1) it is necessary and sufficient simultaneously satisfying the following conditions:

$$1) \quad \{n\tilde{S}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_1; \quad (1.6)$$

2)) Infinite determinant

$$D(z) \equiv \det \left\| \delta_{rn} E_{2m-1} + \frac{i(1 - \omega_l) \tilde{S}_{nj}}{r\omega_l(1 - \omega_j) - n(1 - \omega_l)} e^{i\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}z} e^{-i\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}z} \right\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} \Bigg\|_{r,n=1}^{\infty} \quad (1.7)$$

exists (E_n - $n \times n$ dimensional unit matrix), is continuous, is not equal to zero in the closed semiplane $\overline{C_+} = \{z : \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ and is analytical inside open semiplane $C_+ = \{z : \text{Im } z > 0\}$.

2. On an inverse problem of the scattering theory in the semiaxis.

On the base of the proof of formulated Theorem 2, as in [5], lies investigation of the equation $l(y) = \lambda^{2m} y$. Taking in it

$$x = it, \lambda = -ik, y(x) = Y(t) \quad (2.1)$$

we get

$$(-1)^m Y^{(2m)}(t) + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} Q_\gamma(t) Y^{(\gamma)}(t) = k^{2m} Y(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$Q_\gamma(t) = (-1)^m (-i)^\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n e^{-nt}, \quad \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\gamma |p_n| < \infty \quad (2.3)$$

As a result the equation (2.1) is obtained, the potential of which decreases at $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1: The kernel of the operator of transformation (2.2) $K(t, u), u \geq t$, connected with $+\infty$, with potential (2.3) admits representation

$$K(t, u) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=n}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\left[-\alpha t + \frac{n}{1-\omega_j}(t-u)\right]}$$

where the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=n}^{\infty} \alpha^{2m-1} (\alpha - n) |V_{n\alpha}^{(j, \tau)}|$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{2m-1} |V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(j, \tau)}|$$

converge.

Proof:

As is shown in [2], the equation (2.2) with potential (2.3) has a solution

$$f(t, k\omega_\tau) = e^{ik\omega_\tau t} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} \frac{V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}}{in + k\omega_\tau(1-\omega_j)} e^{(ik\omega_\tau - \alpha)t}, \quad \tau = 0, 2m-1, \quad (2.4)$$

and the numbers $V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}$ are defined by following recurrent formulae:

$$\left[\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{(1-\omega_j)} \right)^{2m} - \left(\frac{n}{(1-\omega_j)} \right)^{2m} \right] V_{n\alpha}^{(j)} = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-1} \sum_{s=n}^{\alpha-1} \left[i \left(s - \frac{n}{(1-\omega_j)} \right) \right]^\gamma P_{\gamma, s-n} V_{ns}^{(j)} \quad (2.5)$$

at $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1$,

$$i^\gamma p_{\gamma\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} d_{j\gamma}(n, \alpha) V_{n\alpha}^{(j)} + \sum_{\nu=\gamma+1}^{2m-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r+s=\alpha}^s d_{j\gamma}(n, s, \nu) p_{\nu r} V_{ns}^{(j)} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{n + k(1-\omega_j)} \left[(i\alpha + k)^{2m} - k^{2m} - (i\alpha + k_{nj})^{2m} + k_{nj}^{2m} \right] = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} d_{j\gamma}(n, \alpha) k^\gamma; \quad j = \overline{1, 2m-1},$$

$$\frac{(is+k)^\nu - (is+k_{nj})^\nu}{in+k(1-\omega_j)} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\nu-1} d_{j\gamma}(n,s,\nu)k^\gamma,$$

and the sequence (2.4) admits 2m –times term by term differentiation. Then under the conditions (2.3) we get

$$f(t,k) = e^{ikt} + \int_t^\infty K(t,u)e^{iku} du, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$K(t,u) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=n}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\left[-\alpha t + \frac{n}{1-\omega_j}(t-u)\right]}. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma is proved.

Futher, using the methodology of the works [1,2] the equality

$$f_{nj}(t) = S_{nj} f(t, k_{nj} \omega_j), \quad (2.9)$$

may be obtained, where $f_{nj}(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow k_{nj}} [in + k(1-\omega_j)] f(t, k)$,

$$k_{nj} = -\frac{in}{1-\omega_j}, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}, n \in N.$$

Rewriting (2.9) in the form

$$\sum_{\alpha=n}^{\infty} V_{n\alpha}^{(j)} e^{-\alpha t} e^{\frac{n}{1-\omega_j} t} = S_{nj} e^{\frac{n\omega_j}{1-\omega_j} t} + \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=r}^{\infty} \frac{i(1-\omega_j) V_{nr}^{(l)} S_{nj}}{n\omega_j(1-\omega_l) - r(1-\omega_j)} e^{(-\alpha + \frac{n\omega_j}{1-\omega_j})t} \quad (2.10)$$

multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j} u}$ and definig

$$\tilde{F}(t+u) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{nj}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}(t\omega_j - u)}, t \leq u \quad (2.11)$$

from (2.10) we obtain the Marchenko type equation

$$K(t,u) = \tilde{F}(t+u) + \int_t^\infty K(t,s) \tilde{F}(s+u) ds. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus the following Lemma is proved:

Lemma2: If the coefficients $Q_\gamma(t)$ of the equation (2.2) have a form (2.3), then the kernel of the operator of transformation (2.8) satisfies Marchenko type equation (2.12) at each $t \geq 0$, where the transmission function $\tilde{F}(t)$ has a form (2.11) and the numbers S_{nj} are defined by (2.9), from which follows that, $S_{nj} = V_{nn}^{(j)}$.

The coefficients $Q_\gamma(t)$ are reconstructed by the kernel of the transformation operator by the help of recurrent formulae (2.5)-(2.6). So, the main equation (2.12) and the form of the transformation operator (2.11) make naturally the formulation of the inverse problem about reconstruction coefficients of the equation (2.1) by numbers S_{nj} . In this formulation, as in others, in the using of transformation operator method, more important moment is the proof of uniqueness solvability of the main equation (2.12).

Lemma3. The homogenous equation

$$g(s) - \int_0^\infty \tilde{F}(u+s)g(u)du = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

with potential $Q_\gamma(t) \in Q_+^2$ has only trivial solution.

Proof: Let $g \in L_2(R^+)$ be a solution of (2.13) and f - be a solution of

$$f(s) + \int_0^s K(t,s)f(t)dt = g(s). \quad (2.14)$$

Substituting g into (2.14) and considering (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) + \int_0^s K(t,s)f(t)dt + \int_0^\infty [f(u) + \int_0^u K(t,u)f(t)dt] \tilde{F}(u+s)du = \\ = f(s) + \int_s^\infty f(t)[\tilde{F}(t+s) + \int_t^\infty K(t,u)\tilde{F}(u+s)du] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the estimation

$$\left| \tilde{F}(t+s) + \int_t^\infty K(t,u)\tilde{F}(u+s)du \right| \leq Ce^{-s},$$

at $t \geq s$ follows, that $f = 0, g = 0$ and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4: For each fixed $a, (\text{Im } a \geq 0)$ the homogenous equation

$$g(s) - \int_0^\infty \tilde{F}(u+s-2ia)g(u)du = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

has only trivial solution in the space $L_2(R^+)$.

Proof:

Replacing x by $x+a$, where $\text{Im } a \geq 0$, in (1.2) we obtain the equation of same type with potential $Q_\gamma^a(x) = Q_\gamma(x+a)$ satisfying condition (1.1). Note, that the functions $\varphi(x+a, \lambda\omega_j)$ are the solutions of the equations

$$(-1)^m y^{(2m)}(x) + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} Q_\gamma^a(x) y^{(\gamma)}(x) = \lambda^{2m} y(x)$$

which at the $x \rightarrow \infty$ turns to

$$\varphi(x+a, \lambda \omega_j) = e^{i\lambda \omega_j a} e^{i\lambda \omega_j x} + o(1).$$

So, the functions

$$\varphi^a(x, \lambda \omega_j) = e^{-i\lambda \omega_j a} \varphi(x+a, \lambda \omega_j)$$

also are solutions type of (1.3). Further, define by $S_{nj}(a)$ the spectral data of L with potential $Q_\gamma^a(x)$

$$L \equiv (-1)^m \frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} Q_\gamma^a(x) \frac{d^\gamma}{dx^\gamma}.$$

According to (1.4) we get

$$S_{nj}(a) \varphi^a(x, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{nj}} [n + \lambda(1 - \omega_j)] \varphi^a(x, \lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{nj}} [n + \lambda(1 - \omega_j)] \varphi(x+a, \lambda) e^{-i\lambda a} =$$

$$= e^{i \frac{n}{1-\omega_j} a} S_{nj} \varphi(x+a, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j) = e^{i \frac{n}{1-\omega_j} a} e^{-i \frac{n \omega_j}{1-\omega_j} a} S_{nj} \varphi^a(x, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j) = e^{ina} S_{nj} \varphi^a(x, \lambda_{nj} \omega_j)$$

Consequesly

$$S_{nj}(a) = e^{ina} S_{nj}. \quad (2.16)$$

Now, as above, we get the main equation of the form (2.12) with transmission function

$$\tilde{F}_a(t+u) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{nj}(a)}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}(t\omega_j-u)} = \tilde{F}(t-ia+u-ia) = \tilde{F}(t+u-2ia)$$

From this lemma follows

Theorem3: The coefficients $Q_\gamma(t)$ of the equation (2.1) satisfying (2.2) are determined unequivocally by numbers S_{nj} .

III. Proof of Theorem 2.

Necessarity: From the relation (2.9) and form of $f_{nj}(t)$ we obtain

$$S_{nj} = V_{nn}^j.$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m-1} |S_{nj}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m-1} |V_{nn}^j| < \infty.$$

i.e. $n^{2m-1} |S_{nj}| \in l_1$. The necessity of the condition (1) is proved.

To prove necessity of the condition (2) firstly we show, that from the trivial solvability of the main equation (2.12) at $t=0$ in the class of functions satisfying to

inequality $\|g(u)\| \leq Ce^{\frac{u}{2}}, u \geq 0$, follows trivial solvability in $l_2(1, \infty, R^{2m-1})$ of the infinite system of equations

$$g_{jn} - \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{i(1-\omega_j)S_{rl}}{n\omega_j(1-\omega_l)-r(1-\omega_j)} g_{lr} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

Really, if $\{g_{jn}\} \in l_2, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}$, is a solution of this system, then the function

$$g(u) = (g_1(u), g_2(u), \dots, g_{2m-1}(u)) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} \quad (3.2)$$

is defined for all $u \geq 0$, satisfies inequality

$$|g(u)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{u}{1-\omega_j}}; u \geq 0.$$

and is a solution of (2.13), as

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) - \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \tilde{F}(u+s) ds &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} - \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}s} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{rl}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\frac{r}{1-\omega_l}(s\omega_l-u)} \right) ds = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} - \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{nj} S_{rl}}{i(1-\omega_l)} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{r}{1-\omega_l}u} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}s} e^{\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}s} ds = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} g_{jn} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} - \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{i(1-\omega_j)S_{nj}S_{rl}}{n\omega_j(1-\omega_l)-r(1-\omega_j)} g_{lr} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{nj} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} \left[g_{jn} - \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{i(1-\omega_j)S_{rl}}{n\omega_j(1-\omega_l)-r(1-\omega_j)} g_{lr} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, $g(u) = 0$, therefore, $S_{nj} g_{jn} = 0$ for all, $n \geq 1, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}$, and

$g_{jn} = 0, j = \overline{1, 2m-1}, n \geq 1$ accordingly (3.1). Introduce in the space $l_2(1, \infty; R^{2m-1})$ operator $F(t)$, given by matrix

$$F_m(t) = \|F_m^{jl}\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} = \left\| \frac{i(1-\omega_l)S_{nj}}{r\omega_l(1-\omega_j)-n(1-\omega_l)} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}t} e^{\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}t} \right\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} \quad (3.3)$$

and let $\varphi_{2k-1} = \{(\delta_{v1})\delta_{kr}\}_{v,r=1}^{2m-1,\infty}$, $\varphi_{2k} = \{(\delta_{v2})\delta_{kr}\}_{v,r=1}^{2m-1,\infty}$ ((δ_{ij}) - column vector), be an orthonormal system in this space. Then, from $n^{2m-1}|S_{nj}| \in l_1$, we get, that

$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} |(F\varphi_j, \varphi_k)|_{l_2(1,\infty;R^{2m-1})} < \infty$, i.e. $F(t)$ is kernel operator [3]. So there exists the

determinant $\Delta(t) = \det(E - F(t))$ of the operator $E - F(t)$ related, as it is not difficult to see, with the determinant $D(z)$ from the condition 2) of the theorem2, by relation $\Delta(-iz) = \det(E - F(-iz)) \equiv D(z)$.

The determinant of the system (3.1) is $D(0)$, and the determinant of analogous system with potential $Q_\gamma^z = Q_\gamma(x+z)$, $\text{Im } z \geq 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &\equiv \det \left\| \delta_{rn} E_{2m-1} - \left\| \frac{i(1-\omega_l)S_{nj}(z)}{r\omega_l(1-\omega_j) - n(1-\omega_l)} \right\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} \right\|_{r,n=1}^{\infty} = \\ &= \det \left\| \delta_{rn} E_{2m-1} - \left\| \frac{i(1-\omega_l)S_{nj}}{r\omega_l(1-\omega_j) - n(1-\omega_l)} e^{inz} \right\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} \right\|_{r,n=1}^{\infty} = \\ &= \det \left\| \delta_{rn} E_{2m-1} - \left\| \frac{i(1-\omega_l)S_{nj}}{r\omega_l(1-\omega_j) - n(1-\omega_l)} e^{\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}z} e^{-\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}z} \right\|_{j,l=1}^{2m-1} \right\|_{r,n=1}^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore to prove the neccesarity of the condition 2) of Theorem 2 it needs to test $\Delta(0) = D(0) \neq 0$. Really, the system (3.1) may be written in $l_2(1,\infty;R^{2m-1})$ as

$$g - F(0)g = 0.$$

As, $F(0)$ is kernel of the operator the Fredholm theory is applicable to it. Accordingly to this theory trivial solvability of it is equaivalent to the fact, that $\Delta(0) = D(0) = \det(E - F(0))$ is not equal to zero [6]. Neccesarity of the condition 2) is proved.

Sufficiently: Let's multiply both sides of the equation (2.12) by $e^{\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}u}$ and integrate by $u \in [t, \infty)$. Then we obtain

$$k(t) = F(t)e(t) + k(t)F(t), \quad (3.4)$$

where $F(t)$ is defined by matrix $\|F_{rn}(t)\|_{r,n=1}^{\infty}$, and

$$e(t) = \|e_{nj}(t)\|_{n,j=1}^{\infty,2m-1} = \left\| e^{\frac{n\omega_j}{1-\omega_j}t} \right\|_{n,j=1}^{\infty,2m-1}; \quad k(t) = \|k_{lr}(t)\|_{l,r=1}^{2m-1,\infty} = \left\| \int_t^\infty K(t,u) e^{\frac{r\omega_l}{1-\omega_l}u} du \right\|_{l,r=1}^{2m-1,\infty};$$

As $F(t)$ is kernel operator for $t \geq 0$ and the condition $\Delta(t) = \det(E - F(t)) \neq 0$

Is satisfied, there exists bounded in l_2 inverse operator $R(t) = (E - F(t))^{-1}$.

As $F(t)e(t) \in l_2$, from (3.4) we get

$$k(t) = R(t)F(t)e(t). \quad (3.5)$$

Now, let's take $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n g_n$. Then (2.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, u) &= \langle e(t), A(u) \rangle + \langle k(t), A(u) \rangle = \langle e(t), A(u) \rangle + \langle R(t)F(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle = \langle e(t) + R(t)F(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle = \\ &= \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $A(u)$ is defined as

$$A(u) = \|a_{jn}(u)\|_{j,n=1}^{2m-1,\infty} = \left\| \frac{S_{nj}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{-\frac{n}{1-\omega_j}u} \right\|_{j,n=1}^{2m-1,\infty}$$

Now suppose, the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Define the function $K(t, u)$ by the equality (3.6) at $0 \leq t \leq u$ in according to given above considerations.

Then by $u \geq t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, u) - \int_t^{\infty} K(t, s)F(s+u)ds &= \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle - \int_t^{\infty} \langle R(t)e(t), A(s) \langle e(s), A(u) \rangle \rangle ds = \\ &= \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle - \left\langle R(t)e(t), \left\langle \int_t^{\infty} A(s)e(s)ds, A(u) \right\rangle \right\rangle = \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle - \\ &= \langle R(t)e(t), \langle A(u), F(t) \rangle \rangle = \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) \rangle - \langle R(t)e(t), A(u)F^*(t) \rangle = \langle R(t)e(t), A(u) - A(u)F^*(t) \rangle = \\ &= \langle e(t), A(u) \rangle = F(t+u), \end{aligned}$$

where “*” denotes the matrix adjoint to $F(t)$ relatively bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Thus, the following lemma is proved.

Lemma 5: At any $t \geq 0$ the kernel $K(t, u)$ of the transformation operator satisfies the main equation

$$K(t, u) = \tilde{F}(t+u) + \int_t^{\infty} K(t, s)\tilde{F}(s+u)ds$$

The uniqueness solvability of the main equation follows from Lemma 3. By substitution it is not difficult to calculate, that the solution of the main equation indeed is

$$K(t, u) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=n}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}}{i(1-\omega_j)} e^{\left[-\alpha + \frac{n}{1-\omega_j}(t-u)\right]},$$

where the numbers $V_{n\alpha}^{(j)}$ are defined from recurrent relations

$$\begin{aligned} V_{nn}^{(j)} &= S_{nj} \\ V_{n\alpha+n}^{(j)} &= (1-\omega_j)V_{nn}^{(j)} \sum_{l=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha} \frac{V_{r\alpha}^{(l)}}{r(1-\omega_j) - n(1-\omega_l)\omega_j}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the main statement that the coefficients $Q_\gamma(t)$ have a form (2.2), at first we result the estimations

$$|R_{rn}^{jl}(t)| \leq \delta_{rn}\delta_{jl} + CS_n; \quad (3.8)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2m-\tau}}{\partial t^{2m-\tau}} R_{rn}^{jl}(t) \right| \leq CS_n; \tau = \overline{1, 2m-1}, \quad (3.9)$$

for the matrix elements $R_{rn}(t)$ of the operator $R(t)$, there $C = \max \{C_k > 0, k = \overline{1, 2m-1}\}$

- ,is constant, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} n^{2m-1} |S_{nj}|$;

Really from the equality $R(t) = E + R(t)F(t)$ follows

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{rn}^{lj}(t)| &\leq \delta_{rn} \delta_{lj} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |R_{rp}^{l\tau}(t)| |F_{pn}^{\tau j}(t)| \leq \delta_{rn} \delta_{lj} + 2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{2m-1} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |R_{rp}^{l\tau}(t)| \right)^2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |F_{pn}^{\tau j}(t)| \right)^2 \leq \delta_{rn} \delta_{lj} + \\ &+ C_1 a_m ((R(t)R^*(t))_{pp} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+p)^2}) S_n \leq \delta_{rn} \delta_{lj} + C_1 \|R(t)\|_{l_2 \rightarrow l_2} S_n. \end{aligned}$$

From other hand, as it was noted, the operator-function $R(t) = (E - F(t))^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in l_2 (as $F(t)$ is kernel operator by $t \geq 0$ and $\Delta(t) = \det(E - F(t)) \neq 0$) that proves the first inequality of (3.8).

To prove the estimation (3.9) firstly we get the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} R_{rn}^{lj}(t) \right| &\leq \sum_{\tau=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} |R_{rp}^{l\tau}(t)| \left| \frac{d}{dt} F_{pq}^{\tau k}(t) \right| |R_{qn}^{kj}(t)| \leq \sum_{\tau=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} (\delta_{rp} \delta_{l\tau} + C_2 S_p) S_q (\delta_{qn} \delta_{kj} + C_3 S_n) \leq \\ &\leq (1 + C_4 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} S_p)^2 S_n \leq CS_n. \end{aligned}$$

Further, considering $\frac{d^l}{dt^l} R(t) = \sum_{n=1}^l C_l^n (\frac{d^{l-n}}{dt^{l-n}} R(t)) (\frac{d^n}{dt^n} F(t)) R(t), l = \overline{1, 2m-1}$, by the help

of mathematic induction method the inequality (3.9) is proved. In [4] the following relations are proved (to make correnpondence with our case, let's denote

$$q_{2m-2-\gamma}(x) = Q_{\gamma}(x)).$$

$$(-1)^m \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial x^{2m}} K(x, t) + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2m-2} q_{2m-2-\gamma}(x) \frac{\partial^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\gamma}} K(x, t) - \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial t^{2m}} K(x, t) = 0$$

$$q_0(x) = 2m \frac{d}{dx} K(x, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_{k+1}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^k q_{\nu}(x) \sum_{s=\nu}^k C_{2m-3-s}^{k-s} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{s-\nu}}{\partial x^{s-\nu}} K(x, t) \right\}_{t=x}^{(k-s)} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{k+2} C_{2m-1-\nu}^{k+2-\nu} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} K(x, t) \right\}_{t=x}^{(k+2-\nu)} - (-1)^k \frac{\partial^{k+2}}{\partial t^{k+2}} K(x, t) \Big|_{t=x} \\ &k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m-3. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is not difficult to show,

$$q_0(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \cdot S_{nj}}{i(1 - \omega_j)} e^{-nt} + \Pi_0(t),$$

where

$$\Pi_0(t) = \sum_{n,p,q,\tau=1}^{\infty} R_{np}^{ej} F_{pq}^{j\tau} e_{q\tau} e_{nq} = \langle R(t)F(t)e(t), A(t) \rangle.$$

is $2i\pi$ periodic function and has bounded $(2m-1)$ order derivatives. It follows from this, that the Fourier coefficients of the function $\Pi_0(-ix), x \in R$, satisfy

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n^{2m-1} \Pi_n|^2 < \infty$. But, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2m-2} |\Pi_n| < \infty$. Thus the Fourier coefficients $P_{2m-2,n}$ of the function $Q_{2m-2}(x) = q_0(x)$ satisfy (2.2). Similary for the rest of coefficients $Q_\gamma(x)$, $\gamma = \overline{0, 2m-3}$ it is established, that the Fourier coefficients p_γ of the function $Q_\gamma(x) = q_{2m-2-\gamma}(x)$, $\gamma = \overline{0, 2m-3}$ satisfy (2.2). It means, that the Fourier coefficients of the function $P_\gamma(x)$, $\gamma = \overline{0, 2m-2}$ satisfy (1.1).

Let, finally, $\{\tilde{S}_{nj}\}$ -be the set of spectral data for the operator $(L - k^{2m}E)$ with constructed coefficients $P_\gamma(x)$. To finish the proof it is enough to show, that $\{S_{nj}\}$ coincides with initial set $\{\tilde{S}_{nj}\}$. This fact follows from the equality $\tilde{S}_{nj} = V_{nn}^{(j)} = S_{nj}$. The theorem is proved.

The author has benefited from discussions with prof. M.G.Casymov and prof. I.M.Guseynov.

References:

1. Gasymov M.G. Spectral analysis of one class nonselfadjoint ordinary differential operators with periodic coefficients. The spectral theory of operators. Baku, Publishing house. "ELM" V.4, 1982, pp. 56-97.
2. Gasymov M.G. Uniqueness of the solution of a inverse problem of scattering theory for one class of even order ordinary differential operators. Doklady USSR, V266, 1982, N5, pp.1033-1036(in Russian).
3. Gohberg I.T., Crein M.G. Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Nauka. 1965, p.448
4. Khachatryan G.C. On the existence of a transformation operator for high order differential equations, which preserves the asymptotics of solutions. News of Academy of sciences Arm. SSR p. XIV, 1979, №6,
5. Pastur L.A., Tkachenko V.A. An inverse problem for one class of onedimensional Shchrodinger's operators with complex periodic potentials. Funktsional analysis and its appendix.(in Russian) 1990 V54. №6. pp. 1252-1269
6. Smirnov V.I. Course of higher mathematics. T.4. M. GITTL
7. Deift P and Trubowitz D. Inverse scattering on the line. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1979, 32, pp.121-251.
8. Marchenko V.A. Sturm-Liouville operators and applications, Birkhauser, Basel. 1986
9. Melin A. Operator methods for inverse scattering on the real line. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 1985. 10: pp.677-766
10. Aktosun T, Klaus M. Inverse theory: problem on the line. Chapter 2.2.4 in: Scattering (ed E.R. Pike and P.C. Sabatier, Academic Press, London, 2001.), pp.770-785.