

Bounds for Solutions of Cubic Diophantine Equations

Howard Kleiman

I. Introduction. In this note, we use a simple argument to show that we are able to obtain solutions in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for some defining equations over $\mathbb{Q}(y)$ of degree 3.

II. Preliminaries. $x^3 - p(y)x + q(y)$ defines an absolutely irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}(y)$ where $p(y), q(y) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$. Its discriminant is $D = -4p^3 - 27q^2$. Using solution by radicals [2], its real root is of the form

$$\frac{\sqrt[3]{\frac{-27q + 3\sqrt{-3D}}{2}} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{-27q - 3\sqrt{-3D}}{2}}}{3}$$

Baker obtained the first effective bound for all solutions of $w^2 = f(y)$ in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ [1] provided that $f(y)$ has at least three simple roots. Currently, better bounds exist. Call the best one, B .

Theorem 1. Let

$$x^3 - p(y)x + q(y) = 0 \quad (1)$$

(a) If $p(y)$ is not congruent to zero modulo 3, given current knowledge, we cannot obtain upper bounds for any solution of (1) in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) If $p(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, assume that $D(y)$ has at least three simple roots.

Then we can obtain all solutions (x_0, y_0) of (1) in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the conditions that $w_0^2 = -3D(y_0)$ where w_0 is a rational integer.

Proof.

(a) Since $p(y)$ is not congruent to zero modulo 3, $D(y) \equiv 2(p(y))^3 \pmod{3}$ cannot be divisible by 3 for any rational integer $y = y_0$. But therefore $w_0^2 = -3D(y_0)$ cannot be divisible by 9, implying that w_0 cannot be a rational integer. Thus, we cannot use B as an upper bound for y_0 .

(b) In this case, any solution in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of $w^2 = -3D(y)$ has the property that

$-3D(y_0) = (-3)^2(C^2) \Rightarrow D(y_0) = -3C^2$ where $C \in \mathbb{Z}$. We thus have two possibilities: (a) $f(x, y_0)$ is irreducible and defines a metacyclic field, M , over \mathbb{Q} such that by adjoining $i\sqrt{3}$ to it, $f(x, y_0)$ defines a cyclic field over $\mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{3})$; (b) $f(x, y_0)$ is reducible and thus has a root that is a rational integer. In either case, using elementary algebra, we can use the factors of $q(y_0)$ in \mathbb{Z} to determine if a rational integer root, x_0 , of $f(x, y_0)$ exists. We need only continue testing until $|y_0| \leq B$.

Comment. It is likely that in most cases, w_0 is not rational even though

$(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, let $f(x, y_0) = (x - x_0)d(x, y_0)$. Here $d(x, y_0) = 0$ has a field discriminant of the form $-3r$ where r is a power-free integer not divisible by 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baker, Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Society, 65 (1969), 439-444.
- [2] B. L. van der Waerden, Modern Algebra (Vol. 1), Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1966, 177-182.