

Similarities between neutrinos and Higgs bosons within a chiral supersymmetric formulation

Tobias Gleim

Taking into account the handedness of a particle in an electromagnetic field obeying the Dirac equation, one soon arrives at a Lagrangian containing a supersymmetric operator, if the particle can be regarded as massless. The same can be achieved in case of an electroweak interaction. The resulting Lagrangian then contains terms that look very similar to those being interpreted as mass terms for the W and Z bosons appearing in models of electroweak symmetry breaking, but this time they are accompanied by neutrino wave operators.

With the intention to reformulate the theory of electroweak interaction as described within the frame of the Standard Model, we would like to start from a Dirac equation extended to fermion multiplets:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \dots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

i.e. a wave operator ψ whose components ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N themselves are 4-spinors and thus obey a Dirac equation. A coupling to a not necessarily Abelian field with potential M_μ is assumed, too:

$$[\gamma^\mu (\hat{p}_\mu + M_\mu) - m] \psi = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{p}_\mu = i\partial_\mu$ and m is a (in general matrix-like and assumed to be diagonal and real) constant. (2) can be used for the case of an electron in an electromagnetic field with potential A_μ : then ψ has only one component, m is the electron mass and $M_\mu = A_\mu$ is a scalar. But (2) could also be applied in case of an electroweak interaction: then M_μ belongs to a non-Abelian field (and m is set to zero); ψ contains three components:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \\ e_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where the first two designate a doublet of left-handed leptons, i.e. left-handed neutrino and electron wave operators, ν_L and e_L respectively, whereas the last one belongs to a singlet containing a right-handed electron with wave operator e_R (here we restrict ourselves to leptons and therefore omit the quarks). Since the potential M_μ is constructed in a way that there is only a coupling between the left-handed leptons of the doublet, but no coupling between the left-handed doublet and the right-handed singlet, it is adequate to inspect left- and right-handed spinors independently from each other. Hence we use

$$\psi_\pm = P_\pm \psi \quad (4)$$

which define the right- and left-handed projections of ψ with the help of the projection operators

$$P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma^5), \text{ i.e. } P_+ + P_- = 1, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\gamma^5 = i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3, \quad (6)$$

with the properties

$$[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^5]_+ = 0, \quad (\gamma^5)^2 = 1, \quad (\gamma^5)^+ = \gamma^5. \quad (7)$$

$[\bullet, \bullet]_+$ designates the anti-commutator, whereas $[\bullet, \bullet]_-$ is the commutator.

Due to (7),

$$P_\pm^+ = P_\pm, \quad P_\pm P_\mp = 0, \quad P_\pm^2 = P_\pm, \quad (8)$$

as well as the following relations hold:

$$P_\pm \gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu P_\mp. \quad (9)$$

Now, our aim is to find an operator equation for ψ_\pm that resembles the one for Higgs bosons which is Klein-Gordon-like. A Klein-Gordon-like equation for ψ_\pm can be obtained in the subsequent way (see e.g. [1]):

$$m \psi = m (P_\pm + P_\mp) \psi = [\gamma^\mu (\hat{p}_\mu + M_\mu) + m] P_\pm \psi = 0, \quad (10)$$

where we have used (2) and (9). Applying the Dirac-operator on (10), the following equation

$$0 = m [\gamma^\mu (\hat{p}_\mu + M_\mu) - m] \psi = [\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu (\hat{p}_\mu + M_\mu) (\hat{p}_\nu + M_\nu) - m^2] P_\pm \psi \quad (11)$$

can be regarded as the one we have been searching for, if we use

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} - i \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (13 \text{ a})$$

because of

$$[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_+ = 2 g^{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_- = -\sigma^{\nu\mu} \quad (13 \text{ b})$$

which yields:

$$0 = [(\hat{p}_\mu + M_\mu) (\hat{p}^\mu + M^\mu) - m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu}] P_\pm \psi \quad (14 \text{ a})$$

or

$$0 = [\hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}^\mu - m^2 + (\hat{p}_\mu M^\mu) + 2 M_\mu \hat{p}^\mu + M_\mu M^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu}] P_\pm \psi \quad (14 \text{ b})$$

where the operator \hat{p}_μ in the third term should only act on M^μ , but not on ψ , and

$$E_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu M_\nu - \partial_\nu M_\mu) - i [M_\mu, M_\nu]_- . \quad (14 \text{ c})$$

The adjoint equation that belongs to (14) can be obtained by taking the hermitian conjugate of (14 a), which results in

$$0 = \left[(\hat{p}^\mu - M^{\mu T}) (\hat{p}_\mu - M_\mu^T) - m^2 \right] \bar{\psi}_\pm + \bar{\psi}_\pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu} , \quad (15)$$

where M_μ^T is the transposed matrix of M_μ ,

$$\bar{\psi}_\pm = \psi_\pm^\dagger \gamma^0 = \bar{\psi} P_\mp \quad (16)$$

and we have applied

$$\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 . \quad (17)$$

as well as (8), (9) and (12 a).

Connection with chiral supersymmetry

Operators like the ones in (10) and (11) are well known from chiral supersymmetric formulations, if m is set to zero and M_μ is e.g. identified with an electromagnetic potential $-eA_\mu$. There (see e.g. [2]), two operators are defined:

$$\hat{Q}_\pm = P_\pm \gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu \quad (18)$$

with

$$\hat{D}_\mu = \hat{p}_\mu - eA_\mu . \quad (19)$$

These operators are nilpotent:

$$\hat{Q}_\pm^2 = 0 . \quad (20)$$

With the latter property, one gets

$$[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \hat{D}_\mu \hat{D}_\nu, \hat{Q}_\pm]_- = 0 \quad (21 \text{ a})$$

and with (9):

$$[\hat{Q}_+, \hat{Q}_-]_+ = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \hat{D}_\mu \hat{D}_\nu . \quad (21 \text{ b})$$

(21 a) and (21 b) together form a supersymmetric algebra and the operator $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \hat{D}_\mu \hat{D}_\nu$ would be a supersymmetric one, if it were hermitian. Unfortunately, the latter is only the case, if the Lorentz-metric is replaced by a euclidian one, i.e.

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -\delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

Lagrangian

From the subsequent Lagrangian,

$$L_{\pm} = -\left[\left(\hat{p}^{\mu} - M^{\mu T}\right)\bar{\psi}_{\mp}\right]\left[\left(\hat{p}_{\mu} + M_{\mu}\right)\psi_{\pm}\right] - \bar{\psi}_{\mp} m^2 \psi_{\pm} + \bar{\psi}_{\mp} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu} \psi_{\pm}, \quad (23)$$

the equations (14) and (15) follow with the aid of the Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial L_{\pm}}{\partial \bar{\psi}_{\mp}} = \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial L_{\pm}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}_{\mp})} \quad (24 \text{ a})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L_{\pm}}{\partial \psi_{\pm}} = \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial L_{\pm}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \psi_{\pm})} \quad (24 \text{ b})$$

respectively.

The electromagnetic example

Before we address to the electroweak interaction, we discuss the much more simple case for an electromagnetic potential, i.e.

$$M_{\mu} = -eA_{\mu} \quad (25 \text{ a})$$

and

$$E_{\mu\nu} = -eF_{\mu\nu} \quad (25 \text{ b})$$

with the electromagnetic field tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \quad (25 \text{ c})$$

as well as ψ from (1) with only one component and an electron mass m . In this case, the full Lagrangian L is the sum of L_{+} and L_{-} from (23):

$$L = L_{+} + L_{-}, \quad (26)$$

because left- and right-handed electrons or positrons should have equal rights in the pure electromagnetic case.

Due to the properties (16), (8) and (9), terms like

$$\bar{\psi}_{\mp} \bar{\psi}_{\pm} = \bar{\psi} P_{\pm} \psi \quad (27)$$

and

$$\bar{\psi}_{\mp} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\pm} = \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_{\pm} \psi \quad (28)$$

appear in (26). But because of

$$\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad (29)$$

the full Lagrangian becomes just

$$L = -\left[\left(\hat{p}^\mu + eA^\mu\right)\bar{\psi}\right]\left[\left(\hat{p}_\mu - eA_\mu\right)\psi\right] - m^2 \bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{2}eF_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi \quad (30)$$

which states again that handedness is not important in the pure electromagnetic case. Therefore the Euler equations are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = \partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \quad (31 \text{ a})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} = \partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)}. \quad (31 \text{ b})$$

The electroweak case

We would just like to cite the potential for an electroweak coupling (see e.g. [3]):

$$M_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} g \vec{W}_\mu \cdot \vec{T} + g' Y_L B_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & g' Y_R B_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (32 \text{ a})$$

$$\vec{T} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma} \quad (32 \text{ b})$$

with the Pauli-matrices $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ and the potential

$$\vec{W}_\mu = (W_\mu^1, W_\mu^2, W_\mu^3) \quad (32 \text{ c})$$

of a Yang-Mills field with field tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu \vec{W}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{W}_\mu) \cdot \vec{T} + g (\vec{W}_\mu \times \vec{W}_\nu) \cdot \vec{T} = \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{T}. \quad (33)$$

\vec{W}_μ belongs to the left-handed doublet in (3), whereas the potential B_μ of a field

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu \quad (34)$$

is associated with the right-handed singlet in (3). g and g' are the corresponding coupling constants. The so called weak hypercharges Y_L and Y_R are usually identified with the values

$$Y_L = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (35 \text{ a})$$

$$Y_R = -1. \quad (35 \text{ b})$$

Instead of the three components in W_μ^i (32 c), one uses mostly

$$\sqrt{2} W_\mu^\pm = W_\mu^1 \mp i W_\mu^2 \text{ and } W_\mu^0 = W_\mu^3. \quad (36)$$

From the structure of (32 a), we can see that there is only a coupling between the left-handed leptons ν_L and e_L of the doublet in (3), but no coupling between this doublet and the right-handed singlet e_R in (3). This is the reason, why we can construct a common Lagrangian for the left- and the right-handed doublet in (3) from (23):

$$L = -(\hat{p}^\mu \bar{\psi})(\hat{p}_\mu \psi) - \bar{\psi} m^2 \psi - (\hat{p}^\mu \bar{\psi})(M_\mu \psi) + (M^{\mu T} \bar{\psi})(\hat{p}_\mu \psi) + (M^{\mu T} \bar{\psi})(M_\mu \psi) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu} \psi \quad (37a)$$

with

$$\bar{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_R \\ \bar{e}_R \\ \bar{e}_L \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37b)$$

Recognize that the handedness of the three 4-spinors forming the components of $\bar{\psi}$ is the reverse one compared with those in $\bar{\psi}$ (see equation (3)). The last term in (37) contains fields:

$$E_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{T} + g' Y_L B_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & g' Y_R B_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37c)$$

Usually, the case of massless particles is considered, i.e. $m = 0$. From the Lagrangian (37), we can read off a term

$$(M^{\mu T} \bar{\psi})(M_\mu \psi) = \bar{\psi} M^\mu M_\mu \psi. \quad (38)$$

Especially the diagonal terms in $M^\mu M_\mu$ lead to interesting results, if we also take into account that the physically relevant fields – apart from W_μ^\pm that belong to the W bosons – are a combination of W_μ^0 and B_μ ,

$$Z_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g W_\mu^0 - g' B_\mu) \quad (39a)$$

and

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g' W_\mu^0 + g B_\mu) \quad (39b)$$

which are known to belong to the Z boson and the photon, respectively.

Then the diagonal terms in $M^\mu M_\mu$ lead to the subsequent terms in (38):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{1}{4} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_\mu Z^\mu + \frac{1}{2} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right] \bar{\nu}_R \nu_L + \\
& \left[\frac{1}{4} (g^2 + g'^2) A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right] \bar{e}_R e_L + \\
& \frac{1}{4} (g^2 + g'^2) (A_\mu - Z_\mu)^2 \bar{e}_L e_R
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The most remarkable term in (40) is the one in the first line, because the factor of $\bar{\nu}_R \nu_L$ resembles the mass terms for the Z and W bosons arising from the presence of a Higgs field. That field can be described by two complex bosonic fields Φ_1 and Φ_2 forming an SU(2)-doublet:

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{41}$$

The procedure of spontaneous symmetry breaking gives a field e.g. of the form (see e.g. [3])

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u + H(x) \end{pmatrix} \tag{42}$$

with a constant u . Since Φ is bosonic, it obeys a Klein-Gordon-like equation that contains a kinetic term

$$\hat{D}_\mu \Phi^\dagger \hat{D}^\mu \Phi, \tag{43}$$

where \hat{D}_μ denotes a covariant derivative

$$\hat{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g \vec{W}_\mu \cdot \vec{T} + i g' Y_\Phi B_\mu \tag{44}$$

with a weak hypercharge of the field Φ ,

$$Y_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{45}$$

Together with (42), (44) and (45), the kinetic term (43) produces a term

$$\left[\frac{1}{8} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_\mu Z^\mu + \frac{1}{4} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right] u^2 = \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu + m_W^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \tag{46}$$

with the W and Z boson masses

$$m_W = \frac{1}{2} g u \tag{47 a}$$

and

$$m_Z = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} u. \tag{47 b}$$

Conclusions

(46) differs from the first line of the term in (40) only by a factor u^2 instead of a factor $\bar{\nu}_R \nu_L$. This might invite to the speculation that (left-handed) neutrinos and Higgs bosons are relatives, although the former are spin-1/2 and the latter spin-0 particles. But the connection of Lagrangians of the kind of

(23) with chiral supersymmetry might even be a hint that the relation between both sorts of particles could be a supersymmetric one.

References

- [1] A. Lindner, Grundkurs Theoretische Physik, Teubner, Stuttgart 1997.
- [2] H. Kalka, G. Soff, Supersymmetrie, Teubner, Stuttgart 1997.
- [3] L. H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1985.