

Molecular dynamics of a κ B DNA element: Base flipping *via* cross-strand intercalative stacking in a microsecond-scale simulation

Cameron Mura^{1,*†} & J. Andrew McCammon^{1,2}

Author affiliations & correspondence:

1 Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry and Center for Theoretical Biological Physics;
University of California, San Diego; La Jolla, CA 92093-0365

2 Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Pharmacology;
University of California, San Diego; La Jolla, CA 92093-0636

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Tel: 1.434.924.7824

Fax: 1.434.924.3710

Email: cmura@virginia.edu

† Current address:

Department of Chemistry; University of Virginia; Charlottesville, VA 22904-4319

Manuscript information:

Synopsis:	Microsecond-scale molecular dynamics simulations of DNA reveal unanticipated conformational features, with implications for site-specific binding of NF- κ B transcription factors to regulatory κ B DNA elements, as well as spontaneous base flipping <i>via</i> a mechanism of cross-strand intercalative stacking of nucleotides.
Format:	<i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> article (<i>in press</i>)
Running title:	<i>Molecular dynamics of κB DNA</i>
Last modified:	02 July 2008
Length estimate:	12.5 printed pages (using 'words/925 + figures/2.8'; excluding references)
Additional notes:	This manuscript is accompanied by nine figures and thirteen items of supplementary information (nine figures and four video animations).

Abstract

The sequence-dependent structural variability and conformational dynamics of DNA play pivotal roles in many biological milieus, such as in the site-specific binding of transcription factors to target regulatory elements. To better understand DNA structure, function, and dynamics in general, and protein...DNA recognition in the “ κ B” family of genetic regulatory elements in particular, we performed molecular dynamics simulations of a 20-base pair DNA encompassing a cognate κ B site recognized by the proto-oncogenic “c-Rel” subfamily of NF- κ B transcription factors. Simulations of the κ B DNA in explicit water were extended to microsecond duration, providing a broad, atomically-detailed glimpse into the structural and dynamical behavior of double helical DNA over many timescales. Of particular note, novel (and structurally plausible) conformations of DNA developed only at the long times sampled in this simulation – including a peculiar state arising at $\approx 0.7 \mu\text{s}$ and characterized by cross-strand intercalative stacking of nucleotides within a longitudinally-sheared base pair, followed (at $\approx 1 \mu\text{s}$) by spontaneous base flipping of a neighboring thymine within the A-rich duplex. Results and predictions from the μs -scale simulation include implications for a dynamical NF- κ B recognition motif, and are amenable to testing and further exploration *via* specific experimental approaches that are suggested herein.

Introduction

DNA is often viewed as a relatively rigid biological macromolecule (1-3), with RNA and proteins thought of as exhibiting broader ranges of both intrinsic three-dimensional structural variability as well as dynamical flexibility. This perspective of a locally-rigid, globally-flexible biopolymer is consistent with the rather passive biological role of DNA as the repository of genetic information – the genome is *read-out* by the process of transcription. Links between structure and potential biological functions (both normal and aberrant) have been explored for conformations that deviate from the standard B-form double helix – including such varieties as multi-stranded triplexes, quadruplex structures found in telomeric G-rich tracts, cruciforms adopted by inverted repeats, hairpins and slipped structures, and so on (4). However, beyond these alternative secondary structures, it is also becoming increasingly apparent that the structure and dynamics of the canonical Watson-Crick DNA double helix on a very local (base pair) level play pivotal roles in specific biological functions, such as the site-specific binding of transcription factors to target DNA elements. This idea of a functional role for sequence-specific DNA fine structure and dynamics is embodied in the concept of “indirect readout,” (5,6) wherein features of protein...DNA recognition are dictated by subtle conformational and dynamical properties of DNA beyond the stereochemical code provided by the specific linear array of chemical functionalities that line the major and minor grooves for a given nucleotide sequence.

Despite the vast literature dedicated to DNA structural biology since the first atomic-resolution crystal structures of both left- (7) and right-handed (8) double helices, many aspects of DNA structure and dynamics remain unclear – including the intrinsic coupling between structure and conformational dynamics that is the basis of indirect readout. For instance, controversy surrounds the relative significance of extrinsic/environmental factors (such as hydration and counterion-binding) versus intrinsic factors (such as local base pair interactions) in mediating sequence-specific DNA fine structure, as gauged by helical axis bending, groove widths, and related properties (9-12). Quantitative frameworks have been developed for the description of DNA structure in terms of the local geometry of bases, base pairs (bp), bp steps, and higher-order structural units (*e.g.*, (13)), but progress in elucidating those structural and dynamical phenomena thought to occur *via* transient, short-lived intermediates (such as occurs in base flipping (14,15)) remains hindered by the difficulty of using existing experimental methods to extract dynamical information at both atomic resolution and over the potentially relevant timescales (ns \rightarrow ms). Emblematic of this difficulty, crystallographic models generally represent spatially- and temporally-averaged structures of individual molecules, the averages being taken over more than 10^{12} unit cells (a conservative estimate, for μm -sized crystals of typical cell dimensions) and time periods greater than

hundreds of milliseconds (a conservative estimate, for exposure with high-brilliance synchrotron x-rays). Thus, detailed knowledge remains somewhat obscure of the factors modulating the mean structural and conformational properties of DNA, the dynamical processes mediating inter-conversions between these average conformational states, and the interactions of these (sub-)states with transcription factors, histone proteins, intercalators, groove-binding drugs, and other relevant species. Computational approaches such as molecular dynamics (MD) simulation (16) provide an alternative route towards exploring biomolecular structure and dynamics in fully atomic detail, and have yielded a wealth of nucleic acid simulations over the past dozen years (reviewed in (17)).

The NF- κ B transcription factor family illustrates the many potential complexities of protein...DNA recognition. This family occurs in a wide variety of eukaryotes and regulates a similarly broad array of cellular pathways, ranging from morphogenesis in insects to adaptive immunity in humans (18). The five mammalian NF- κ Bs (p50, p52, p65 (RelA), c-Rel, and RelB) contain an \approx 300-residue Rel Homology Region (RHR), consisting of two immunoglobulin-like folds joined by a flexible linker. NF- κ Bs associate into homo- and hetero-dimers that modulate gene expression by binding to target κ B DNA enhancer sites. The remarkably loose κ B consensus sequence $^5\text{GGGRN}\underline{\text{WYYCC}}^3$ (N = any nucleotide, R = purine, Y = pyrimidine (often Thy), and W = Ade or Thy) consists of two “half sites” (underlined). The 5-bp GGGRN half sites are preferentially bound by p50 and p52 subunits, while RelA, RelB, and c-Rel prefer the 4-bp YYCC half sites. Thus, in addition to immense sequence variability and intrinsically different NF- κ B-binding preferences for different half sites, κ B elements also vary in length. Known κ B sites can be grouped into 9-bp “class I” sites (4+1+4 arrangement, for c-Rel and RelA homodimers) and 10- or 11-bp “class II” sites (5+1+5, for p50 and p52 homodimers). However, even the above rules and consensus sequences are likely to be too restrictive: Some sequence-specific trends are known, but there is great degeneracy in terms of both (i) optimal DNA sequences for a given NF- κ B dimer and (ii) the relative affinities of different NF- κ B dimers for a given κ B DNA sequence (18). Thus, an outstanding question in the NF- κ B field is the detailed mechanism of indirect readout – What are the determinants of sequence-specific binding of NF- κ B to target κ B sites? Biophysical studies have demonstrated that NF- κ B...DNA binding affinity is largely entropically-driven (19), but the issue of site specificity remains far murkier, with it now thought that “the conformation and flexibility of κ B DNA sequences play a critical role in the recognition of NF- κ B dimers” (20).

Therefore, as an initial step in elucidating protein...DNA recognition and the mechanism of indirect readout in the context of differential binding of NF- κ B transcription factors to κ B DNA elements, we performed MD simulations of a 20-base pair κ B DNA of known structure (Fig. 1, S1). This DNA duplex consists of the sequence d(GGGTTTAAAGAAATTCCAGA), and encompasses a κ B element (underlined) recognized by the c-Rel NF- κ B homodimer and its oncogenic variant “v-Rel” (21). Simulations of the DNA were extended to the μ s timescale, affording insights that would have remained undiscovered in a shorter simulation. Unanticipated κ B DNA structural transitions discovered at the long times sampled in this trajectory include cross-strand intercalative stacking (“XSIS”) of nucleotides followed by spontaneous base flipping at a neighboring nucleotide, as well as a peculiar minor groove-bound “barbed” terminus. In addition to illuminating the μ s-scale structural and dynamical behavior of this particular κ B sequence, the simulation provides a broad, atomic-resolution glimpse into the dynamical properties of two turns of double helical DNA over a wide range of timescales (nine orders of magnitude). The terascale body of data presents opportunities for detailed analyses of methodological issues (such as the approximations inherent in the empirical force fields used in MD), but the present report focuses instead upon the intriguing XSIS, flipping, and barbing transitions, as well as suggesting specific experimental ideas which could be used to test and further explore the simulation-based predictions. While technical issues pertaining to force field parameterization lie beyond the scope of the present work, an initial assessment of the quality of this extended trajectory was made by considering μ s-scale DNA backbone dynamics in terms of potential B_I/B_{II} and α/γ backbone substate sampling problems.

Materials and Methods

The work proceeded in stages of (i) system selection, construction, and preparation; (ii) calculation of classical MD trajectories; and (iii) data processing and analysis. These stages are described below, and further detailed in the *Supp. Info.* The starting structure was a 20-bp DNA encompassing the κ B site of a CD28 response element, drawn from a crystal structure of this duplex bound to the (c-Rel)₂ NF- κ B homodimer (21). This 9-bp class II κ B DNA consists of 4-bp “half sites” (Fig. 1a, S1). Because the dynamics of this particular DNA are of interest as part of a broader NF- κ B-related project, the structure of c-Rel-bound κ B DNA was used directly and not rebuilt into canonical B-form. A virtue of the 20-bp duplex used in these studies (versus a shorter fragment limited to just the κ B site) is that the region of primary interest – the 9-bp κ B site – is embedded in two helical turns of DNA, making it less susceptible to potentially spurious end-effects. The simulation system was constructed by immersing the duplex in a truncated octahedron of explicit water (Fig. 1b, S1). As described in the *Supp. Info.*, design of the periodic boundary conditions accounted for the calculated hydrodynamic properties of this DNA fragment (Fig. S1e), such that a minimal clearance of 20 Å was maintained between periodic images at all times (this distance being 35 Å for the starting configuration). The final system was prepared *via* (i) addition of hydrogens; (ii) successive rounds of energy minimization of protons and DNA atoms; (iii) addition of 38 Na⁺ counterions; (iv) placement of an initial shell of interstitial waters around the neutralized DNA•Na⁺ system; and (v) addition of bulk water and ions (Na⁺ and Cl⁻). The resultant (over-sized) rectangular cell was trimmed to yield the final mecon. The final 61,439-atom system consisted of the κ B DNA duplex accompanied by (i) 20,030 molecules of TIP3P water (22); (ii) 38 Na⁺ counterions; and (iii) an additional 20 Na⁺ and 20 Cl⁻ ions, providing a final [NaCl] \approx 50 mM (chosen so as to mimic the conditions of DNA-binding experiments with this and related κ B elements (23)). As a final preparatory step, the DNA was allowed to adapt to the aqueous ionic environment (and, likewise, the solvent structure to relax around the DNA and remove unfavorable contacts) by a multi-stage protocol involving incremental relaxation of harmonic restraints on DNA atoms over a total of 10,000 cycles of potential energy minimization.

Standard methods were used to compute MD trajectories (see, *e.g.*, (24)). Initial equilibration stages of heating and restrained dynamics (Fig. S2 and the submatrix in Fig. 2a) were followed by 1,020 ns of unrestrained, production-level dynamics. Simulations were performed in the isobaric-isothermal ensemble (constant NPT). Pressure and temperature were maintained at 1 atm and 300 K, with temperature controlled *via* Langevin dynamics for all non-hydrogen atoms, and pressure regulated *via* a hybrid Nosé-Hoover Langevin piston (25). Long-range electrostatics were treated by the smooth particle mesh Ewald algorithm (26), with a grid density better than 1/Å in every direction. Non-bonded short-range interactions were calculated within a spherical cutoff of 10.0 Å (a smooth switching function was applied from 9→10 Å). The SHAKE algorithm (27) was used to constrain bonds between hydrogens and parent heavy atoms, enabling a 2.0 fs integration time step without compromising either bulk thermodynamic quantities (Fig. S2) or structural stability of the trajectory (Fig. S3). Further computational efficiency was afforded using a well-established multiple time-stepping scheme (28). Simulations were computed in 1.0-ns bins, with trajectory coordinates written every 1.0 ps. The trajectory was extended to the μ s timescale *via* scripts for automatically linking time slices $i \rightarrow i+1$, enabling indefinite propagation of the simulation in a semi-autonomous manner on a host of available Linux clusters. Trajectories were computed using NAMD (29) and the parm94 force field. Of the many force fields commonly used in biomolecular simulations, the parm94 version of the standard Amber force field was chosen for the sake of consistency and comparability with the wealth of existing DNA simulations that used this particular parameter set. Issues of force field selection and usage present a “moving target,” due to continuous developments in this field, and it may be argued that extensive conformational sampling (such as is achieved on the μ s timescale) overcomes potential artifacts that may arise in shorter simulations – *i.e.*, sampling and artifact are somewhat orthogonal and counterbalanced effects, and what may appear as “artifact” at short (ns) times is effectively “washed-out” in the limit of longer (μ s) timescales. Further details pertaining to force field selection, analysis steps, and issues specific to a simulation of this length are provided in the *Supp. Info.*

Results and Discussion

The results are presented in five main areas: μ s-scale trajectory stability, the cross-strand intercalative stacking transition, a base flipping event, a “barbed” terminus, and trajectory validation in terms of μ s-scale sampling of DNA backbone substates. As with any simulation-based work, our computational findings could be viewed as more suggestive than conclusive, and can be considered as a way to generate atomically-detailed hypotheses about the dynamical mechanism underlying a complex biomolecular transition (in this case, XSIS and flipping). Thus, explicit connections to experiment are drawn in two distinct ways (primarily towards the end of each subsection): (i) Existing data which bear upon particular results are interleaved into the discussions of those results, as are (ii) Specific proposals for experiments that might be suitable in testing our predictions.

Trajectory stability and conformational variability on the μ s timescale

Simulations of the κ B DNA element (Fig. 1, S1) were extended past one μ s. This lengthy timescale was achieved using an optimized code exhibiting particularly efficient parallel scaling (NAMD; ref. (29)), in conjunction with optimal hardware/network architectures and scripts designed to propagate simulations in a minimally-supervised and essentially uninterruptible manner. Trajectories were thereby calculated over extensive periods of wallclock time (nearly one year), yielding roughly one terabyte of DNA simulation data for this $>60,000$ -atom system. Persistence of the structural integrity of the 20-bp duplex on the μ s timescale is notable (Fig. S3), as MD simulations are typically performed for DNA fragments shorter than the two helical turns reported here (*e.g.*, dodecamers), and are generally limited to shorter durations (*e.g.*, <20 -30 ns); exceptions are recent studies of counterion-binding in a 60-ns simulation by Beveridge and co-workers (12), two 50-ns trajectories of a different dodecamer by Várnai & Zakrzewska (30), and the recent μ s-scale Dickerson dodecamer trajectories used in force field parameterization studies (31). In terms of simulation reliability and ion-related force field artifacts, it should be noted that the recently-studied problem of KCl aggregation as a result of possibly imbalanced Lennard-Jones parameters (32) was not found to be an issue in the simulations reported here; this is not an unexpected result, given the comparatively low (≈ 50 mM) NaCl concentration in this κ B DNA system.

The many approximations and assumptions which underlie empirical force fields for biomolecular simulations – simplified potential energy functional forms, parameterizations against short simulations, neglect of atomic polarizability, *etc.*) – motivated careful monitoring of this unusually long κ B simulation, in terms of both thermodynamic properties (Fig. S2) and structural parameters such as coordinate root-mean-square deviations (RMSD; Fig. S3). Successful equilibration and stabilization is evident over both short (ns) and long (μ s) times. Although RMSD is a less than ideal metric of DNA structural similarity, comparisons of each trajectory snapshot to canonical A- and B-form DNA suggest the presence of relatively long-lived (>5 -ns) states that are closer in structure to A- than B-DNA (Fig. S3f, $\text{RMSD}_B > \text{RMSD}_A$ grey-shaded areas at ≈ 0.7 μ s). An RMSD measure modified by a multiplicative term linearly scaled by the distance of an atom to the center of the DNA (Fig. S3) confirms the expected result that the duplex termini are the most dynamical regions (*i.e.*, account for the bulk of the RMSD).

Fine-grained differences between DNA conformations over various time spans of the trajectory were assessed by pairwise RMSD matrices, computed over periods ranging from ns \rightarrow μ s. As shown in Fig. 2 and S4, short-time (ns-scale) matrices capture those features of the trajectory which may be expected, such as the restrained dynamics phase of the equilibration period (first ns) corresponding to very low RMSD values and differing significantly from the remainder of the trajectory (Fig. 2a). The long-time (μ s-scale) matrix represents $>10^{12}$ pairwise comparisons (Fig. 2b); significant off-diagonal basins of increased structural similarity/dissimilarity in this matrix illustrate that the notion of an average DNA structure is not an accurate representation of the true ensemble of thermally-accessible conformational states in the μ s-scale dynamics of DNA. [A similar point has been made by Beveridge *et al.* (33).] Patterns of intense variability in the μ s matrix (*e.g.*, the striping at long times in Fig. 2b) show that much of the structural variability arises only beyond ≈ 0.6 -0.7 μ s. These patterns also served as initial hallmarks

of the large-scale structural transitions which were subsequently identified as a base flipping event (Fig. 2b, orange arrow) and a cross-strand intercalative stacking transition (Fig. 2b, yellow arrow).

Cross-strand intercalative stacking (Xsis)

An intriguing example of DNA structural plasticity and conformational polymorphism that emerged only at long times involves disruption of a Watson-Crick base pair *via* cross-strand intercalative stacking (“Xsis”) of the bases in the previously intact pair. This atypical Xsis state develops into a stable, persistent form between ≈ 0.7 – 0.75 μ s, and occurs at the (A•T)₁₂ bp in an A/T-rich region near the center of the κ B recognition element (Fig. 1a). The aforementioned patterns of variability in pairwise RMSDs (Fig. 2, S4) suggested the large-scale structural perturbations accompanying the Xsis transition. Visual analysis of the trajectory (*Supp. Movie A*), as well as calculation of bundles of κ B DNA conformers and corresponding averaged structures over discrete time windows (Fig. 3), revealed the cross-strand base stacking that characterizes the Xsis state. This transition involves essentially complete abrogation of the (A•T)₁₂ pair, such that the constituent bases (Ade_{1,12} and Thy_{2,9}) longitudinally shear apart and assume a nearly coaxial rather than coplanar arrangement – *i.e.*, they become stacked upon one another (Fig. 4c–e), with the A_{1,12} staggered towards the 5’ direction and the cross-strand partner T_{2,9} translated towards the 3’ direction (with respect to the 5’→3’ path of the parent strand along the global helical axis). Though fundamentally different from the longitudinal breathing mechanism proposed by Harvey over twenty years ago for B ↔ Z DNA conversion (34), the Xsis transition is similar in spirit, insofar as stretching of the local backbone along the helical axis creates free space to accommodate an additional object (the new ‘object’ being a longitudinally sheared base in Xsis, versus a propagating cavity which accommodates intact base pairs as they flip their α/β ring faces in the Harvey model). There is also a degree of structural similarity between Xsis and the pattern of inter-strand interactions arising during simulations of duplexes subject to an applied tension, leading to a stretched ‘S’-form DNA (35); however, any relationship between S-DNA and Xsis is unclear (*i.e.*, the similarity may be a purely geometric result arising upon lengthening of any double helical arrangement of planar, interacting groups).

The Xsis transition can be monitored by both geometric and physicochemical parameters. Among the standard rigid-body parameters describing local bp and bp step geometry, the intra-bp *Stagger* (S_z) is particularly suitable for capturing the Xsis process (Fig. 4a, S6). Likewise, the cross-strand mutual base overlap area described in Fig. 5 (and ref. (36)) measures the degree of inter-strand stacking of the bases participating in Xsis, and can be seen to be a highly sensitive gauge of Xsis-like transitions (including the possibility of discerning asymmetric behavior of the two 5’- and 3’-shearing bases). Disruption of the local helical stack due to Xsis is accompanied by compensating (inversely correlated) changes in the bp and bp step parameters of neighboring nucleotides (Fig. 4a, S6). Although the perturbative effect of this transition does not propagate very far along either direction of the duplex in terms of these geometric parameters (Fig. S6), the timing of the Xsis transition nearly coincides with formation of a barbed terminus (described below), suggesting some degree of dynamical coupling between sites >10 base pairs apart in sequence (Fig. 1a). As may be expected from the geometry of the double helix, local groove width is one of the strongest structural correlates of Xsis (Fig. 4b): The transition coincides with perturbation of the proximal minor groove, wherein an initially widened groove over the ≈ 0.6 → 0.74 - μ s time span (relative to the ≈ 5.8 Å width in canonical B-form DNA and the even narrower width characteristic of A-tracts) rapidly constricts in the 5’ direction. These structural features spur great interest in the possible roles of condensed counterions in triggering and/or modulating Xsis-like transitions.

An initial assessment of potential coupling between the counterion atmosphere and structural transitions such as Xsis was made by calculating number densities of Na⁺ ions within 15 Å of the κ B DNA over the course of the trajectory, with populations being averaged over 1-, 10-, and 100-ns windows centered on the ‘early’ (Fig. 4c), ‘middle’ (Fig. 4e), and ‘extreme’ (Fig. 4f) states of Xsis. These results (Fig. 4d and unpublished data) are consistent with known structural trends – *e.g.*, preferential localization of Na⁺ density near more strongly electronegative regions of nucleoside bases (Ade N6/7, Thy O2, *etc.*). Consistent with many X-ray, NMR, and MD studies indicating cation-dependent minor groove narrowing

(9,11,12), the severely constricted minor groove near the X₂S site (Fig. 4c,e) exhibits the highest amplitude peak in the 10-ns window-averaged Na⁺ density map (Fig. 4d, right panel). Nevertheless, the detailed linkage between groove-localized Na⁺ ions and structural transitions such as X₂S remains unclear; given the lengthy timescales over which the X₂S and flipping events evolve (>100 ns from initial onset to final resolution), it is likely that the local ionic environment (relaxing on the ns timescale for monovalent cations) ‘responds’ to (rather than drives) the large-scale structural transitions of X₂S and flipping.

The X₂S transition and its potential role in κ B DNA dynamics is a novel finding attributable to the μ s-scale sampling of our trajectory, and it should be emphasized that this simulation-based result is more predictive than conclusive. Solution NMR studies of other κ B DNA elements have been pioneered by Hartmann and colleagues (37); however, to our knowledge, MD simulations of a κ B DNA have not been performed. Therefore, prior knowledge of what may be anticipated in the μ s-scale behavior of this κ B DNA is limited, and no *a priori* assumptions were imposed with regards to the computed behavior of this DNA fragment. Simulation caveats notwithstanding, it should be noted that numerous experimental data from both NMR and crystallography support or are consistent with an X₂S-like transition. Observations of severe groove-bending immediately preceding X₂S (*e.g.*, Fig. 4f) are consistent with NMR data showing a pronounced ($\approx 20^\circ$) bend towards the major groove in a related κ B DNA (37). Also, several lines of crystallographic evidence are consistent with the X₂S phenomenon. Early studies of poly(A) and (CA)_n tracts by Klug, Moras, and others (38,39) have revealed a “zipper” pattern of bifurcated hydrogen bonds along the axial stack. These favorable $i_1 \cdots j_2$ and $j_1 \cdots i_2$ hydrogen bond interactions (in the notation of Fig. 5) recapitulate the edge/edge interactions which occur between bases in both the *initial* and *final* stages of X₂S: *initial*, as aromatic base planes begin shearing to the “early” state illustrated in Fig. 4d; and *final*, as the X₂S thymine (T_{2,9}) begins hydrogen bonding to the “wrong” cross-strand adenine (A_{1,13}) and eventually resolving the X₂S state by leading to flipping of its T_{2,8} neighbor. Independent structural work on G•A mismatches found a similar pattern of $(i/j)_1 \cdots (j/i)_2$ cross-strand bifurcated hydrogen bonds (40), suggesting such cross-strand interactions as a fundamental feature of DNA deformability. Perhaps most relevantly, Reid and coworkers have amassed a large body of NMR results showing that flanking G•A mismatches (such as occur in human centromeric (GGA)₂ segments) can induce the shearing of intervening bases into a “GA-bracketed G-stack” similar to the X₂S state reported here (see, *e.g.*, (41,42)). These experimental data concur with our prediction of a μ s-scale X₂S-like transition at the junction of κ B half sites.

Base flipping at the junction of κ B half sites

The most intriguing and unanticipated result to emerge in the long-time dynamics of κ B DNA is a spontaneous base flipping event. Because of its high activation barrier, such a transition is considered a “rare event”, thought to occur only infrequently in the equilibrium dynamics of a DNA duplex at room temperature (reviewed in (43)). Indeed, flipping of a thymine base at the junction of κ B half sites (Fig. 1a) arose only near the end of the μ s-long κ B trajectory (Fig. 2b, 3e,f), at ≈ 950 ns as measured by several geometric criteria (Fig. 6, S6; see below). It is interesting that flipping occurred at (A•T)₁₃, immediately adjacent to the disrupted (A•T)₁₂ X₂S site. The thymine base that is the focal point of this transition (T_{2,8}) can be seen to twist entirely out of the double helical stack *via* the major groove (*Supp. Movie B*), thereby eliminating the (A•T)₁₃ Watson-Crick bp and yielding an apyrimidinic lesion (Fig. 3e,f, 6b). Concomitant closure of the newly-formed lesion by pairing of the 3’ neighboring thymine (T_{2,9}; of the X₂S pair) to the orphan adenine (A_{1,13}) suggests the model of X₂S-facilitated flipping presented below.

The flipping transition can be monitored by the time-evolution of (i) local bp and bp step parameters; (ii) correlated changes in local helical geometry (*e.g.*, minor groove structure); and (iii) physicochemical quantities such as base overlap areas and the solvent-accessible surface area of the flipping base. Of the standard parameters used to describe bp and bp step geometry (13), the *Opening* (σ) angle is a useful descriptor of the flipping transition (Fig. 6a). [Note that σ is not the same as the angular parameters developed as reaction coordinates in landmark studies of forced flipping by the groups of Lavery (an

inclination-independent construction accounting for local helical geometry; see (44)) and MacKerell (a center-of-mass pseudodihedral; see (45)).] The distance between complementary bases is also a useful descriptor of the flipping transition (d_{COG} , Fig. 6b), with the advantage that it is independent of the relative angular orientation between base-centered reference frames. As is the case with Xsis, significant redundancy and correlation exists within two distinct types of data: (i) The time-evolution of the various other rigid-body bp and bp step parameters are naturally coupled to σ and also serve (to varying degrees) as reporters of the flipping event; for example, the translational *Slide* (D_y) and angular *Tilt* (τ) of a bp step are rather sensitive to flipping, whereas the *Roll* (ρ) is less so (Fig. S6). (ii) Time series of a given parameter across the different base pairs and base pair steps near the Xsis ($\text{A}\bullet\text{T}_{12}$) and flipping ($\text{A}\bullet\text{T}_{13}$) sites are correlated (see, for instance, the flanking ($\text{A}\bullet\text{T}_{11}$) bp lying 5' to the Xsis site; Fig. 4–6, S6). In terms of local helical geometry, an intriguing negative correlation exists between the dynamics of the minor groove widths at bp steps bracketing the Xsis and flipping sites – (AA/TT_{11} and (AA/TT_{12}) on the 5' side and (TT/AA_{14}) on the 3' side (with respect to *strand-1*; Fig. S1). The somewhat narrowed minor groove on the 3' side of the flipped $\text{T}_{2,8}$ (suggesting the *B'* form of DNA) significantly widens (Fig. 4b, blue trace), whereas the significantly widened minor groove on the 5' side of the flipping/Xsis site drastically narrows (Fig. 4b, yellow and red). The crossover point between these minor groove transitions (Fig. 4b, ≈ 750 ns) marks the onset of Xsis; the subsequent flipping event is evidenced by a further (smaller-scale) perturbation in the 3' groove widths (Fig. 4b, blue and green traces at ≈ 950 ns).

Comparison of the μ s-scale κ B DNA flipping event to the vast literature on both experimental (15) and computational (43) studies of base flipping is hampered by the single observation of this transition, as well as the fact that, to our knowledge, this is the first suggestion for a possible role for base flipping in κ B DNA dynamics (*i.e.*, κ B flipping data do not exist). Much of the existing flipping work focuses upon protein-facilitated (“activated”) flipping, rather than the spontaneous (“passive”) flipping reported here (15). However, numerous experiments have established that spontaneous DNA and RNA base flipping occurs, the methods ranging from chemical approaches (such as trapping a transiently flipped base in a macrocyclic host molecule (46)) to NMR-based measurements of imino proton exchange (47,48). On a related note, Dickerson and coworkers discovered a novel instance of intermolecular intercalation in the crystal structure of a hybrid DNA/RNA duplex (49), an implication being that such “base pair swapping” occurs *via* spontaneous base flipping. Most notably, very recent crystallographic work has revealed a base extruded from the stack of a related HIV-1 κ B DNA element, corroborating our prediction that base flipping may occur in κ B DNA (50).

Discovery of κ B DNA base flipping in the μ s regime is a fortuitous result, as NMR studies of other DNA sequences find that this process occurs on a longer timescale (ms), inaccessible to equilibrium MD simulation. Nonetheless, that the base which flips is a thymine is consistent with the relative pyrimidine versus purine energy barrier, as well as with NMR exchange data revealing that (i) the lifetime of an $\text{A}\bullet\text{T}$ bp is generally shorter than $\text{G}\bullet\text{C}$ pairs (47) and (ii) spontaneous $\text{A}\bullet\text{T}$ opening is the basis for recognition of extrahelical bases (Ura/Thy) in the uracil glycosylase DNA repair system (51). (As discussed below, the junction of half sites in κ B elements is almost always an $\text{A}\bullet\text{T}$ bp.) Also, with regards to characteristic timescales for flipping – and the base pair opening or “breathing” which necessarily precedes it – previous simulation work has found spontaneous breathing on the ns timescale for a difluorotoluene-substituted $\text{A}\bullet\text{F}$ pair (52). Flipping of $\text{T}_{2,8}$ *via* the major groove is consistent with both experimental studies (53) and recent theoretical work (45,54,55) on flipping pathways. Strong coupling between base flipping and helical axis bending was found in early theoretical work (56) as well as more recent computational studies (55). Consistent with those findings and the aforementioned NMR studies (37), the κ B DNA exhibits significant helical axis bending in a most extreme state of Xsis preceding the base flipping event (Fig. 4f).

Finally, we note that the κ B flipping reported here is not necessarily inconsistent with NMR-based findings of generally slower $\text{A}\bullet\text{T}$ opening in A-tracts (47). As with any computational or experimental approach, the NMR exchange methodology rests upon various assumptions and approximations. In particular, known limitations and caveats of this technique include (i) assumptions of two-state behavior

(entirely open/closed bps); (ii) ambiguity of the actual physical process being detected (accessibility of exchanged protons versus complete base extrusion); (iii) the neglected structural and dynamical influence of ions (such as the ammonia exchange catalyst used in these studies) on local DNA structure (57); and (iv) intrinsic detection limits (ms timescales being the lower bound). Similar NMR studies have shown that A•T opening strongly depends on sequence context, and is also sensitive to oligonucleotide length (58). Most significantly, the structural basis of greater A•T lifetimes in A-tracts is assumed to stem from a presumably narrower (and more rigid) minor groove in these tracts ((43) and references therein). However, the minor groove of the κ B element does not exhibit this behavior immediately prior to the XSIS \rightarrow flipping cascade (Figs. 4b, S6), and therefore does not adhere to this assumption. It should be reiterated that ultimate assessment of predicted κ B base flipping will require future experimental studies.

XSIS-facilitated flipping?

XSIS and base flipping appear to be intimately linked. Coupling between XSIS at (A•T)₁₂ and base flipping at the 3' neighboring (A•T)₁₃ is reflected in the time-evolution of numerous structural parameters between \approx 750 ns (onset of XSIS) and 950 ns (onset of flipping). This particular 200-ns period is immediately preceded by perturbation of local helical structure, as quantified by, *e.g.*, minor groove widths in the vicinity of these bp steps. We suggest that cross-strand intercalative stacking may facilitate passive, spontaneous base flipping – *i.e.*, flipping in the absence of proteins that can actively extrude, bind, and stabilize flipped bases (*e.g.*, DNA methyltransferases (15,59) and glycosylase repair enzymes (60)). Such a mechanism may be especially true in the case of the particular A/T-rich region at the center of the κ B DNA (Fig. 1), as this segment is highly degenerate, of low sequence complexity, and is therefore amenable to “strand-slippage” deformations (61,62). In this model, the central Ade (*n*) in an ...AA_{*n*}A... tract undergoes a thermally-induced, transient breathing event (52,63), staggers into an XSIS-like state (quantified by *S*_z), and then, in re-annealing to the complementary strand by re-forming the A•T pair, does so with a neighboring (*n* \pm 1) thymine instead of the original cross-strand partner. Evidence for such a mechanism is provided by static snapshots (Fig. 4, 6) and averaged structures (Fig. 3, S4), and most clearly by visual inspection of the process as it occurs (see *Supp. Movies A, B, D*). Note that an A-rich region of the low-complexity ...AAA... form found at the junction of κ B half sites (rather than a different permutation across the strands, such as ...ATAT...) is perhaps *the* ideal sequence on which such a mechanism could act, as it would be more amenable to such *n* \rightarrow *n* \pm 1 slippage than other configurations of base pairs.

Evaluation of our model for XSIS-facilitated flipping in DNA (κ B or otherwise) ultimately requires experimental data. Specific studies using experimental and/or computational techniques can be envisaged as being particularly well-suited for exploring the XSIS transition, the base flipping event, and the key coupling between these events that we posit as a mechanism for spontaneous base flipping in A-rich tracts. Flipping in the long-time dynamics of this κ B DNA could be studied *via* the chemical (flipped base trapping) and biophysical (proton exchange) methods outlined above, as well as a recently-developed selective, non-covalent base flipping assay (64). Simulation-derived dynamics of this κ B element also could be tested in terms of agreement (on the \approx 50 ns timescale) with experiments using the time-resolved Stokes shift spectroscopic method that has been fruitfully applied to DNA by Berg and coworkers (65). Perhaps most compelling, the XSIS transition (and coupled flipping) could be explored by assaying the charge transfer properties of DNA duplexes containing this and related κ B sequences. Conformationally-gated charge transfer through DNA has been established by Barton and colleagues as a sensitive gauge of local DNA structure (recently reviewed in (66)), and the sequence-sensitivity of this phenomena has been demonstrated (67). Surveying the anticipated disruptive effect of an XSIS-like state (see Fig. 4e,f) upon DNA charge transfer efficiency in multiple sequence contexts would serve as a test of the predictive results from our simulation, and the data from such studies would help support or refute a mechanistic model for spontaneous base flipping *via* XSIS-facilitated base pair opening.

A minor groove-bound “barbed” structure

DNA oligonucleotide structures in which a terminal nucleoside loops back upon its parent strand to form a stable, well-defined, yet alternative (non-canonical) structure are uncommon: Formation of DNA secondary and tertiary structure is a highly cooperative process wherein complementary strands reproducibly “zip-up” into the same configuration of energetically-stable Watson-Crick base pairs (3). Thus, a κ B DNA conformation in which a 3' terminal nucleotide “peels” away from the helical stack and forms favorable (hydrogen bonded) interactions with the proximal minor groove of its parent strand was unexpected (Fig. 3c-f, 7, S7). It is intriguing that this barbing occurs at the $(G\equiv C)_1$ rather than the $(A=T)_{20}$ terminus of the non-palindromic κ B DNA duplex (Fig. 7), given that solvent-exposed triply hydrogen-bonded $G\bullet C$ pairs are more stable than $A\bullet T$ pairs. As a positive control on the dynamical behavior of the κ B DNA trajectory with respect to the termini, the large-scale pattern of fraying at the $(A\bullet T)_{20}$ terminus (Fig. S7) and the train of transient fraying events at $(G\bullet C)_1$ prior to barbing (Fig. 7) are consistent with the thermally-induced terminal fraying previously observed in ns-scale MD simulations of nucleic acids (68). Neglecting intermolecular terminus...groove contacts in the lattices of some DNA crystals, the most structurally similar examples to barbing of which we are aware are the minor groove association of extra-helical cytosines in the solution structure of a crosslinked DNA ((69); the Cyt base is not near a terminus), and the “G1” conformation found in simulations of a DNA octamer containing an adenine bulge (the bulged adenine occasionally makes edge...minor groove contacts, (70)).

In addition to being structurally (Fig. 7, inset) and dynamically distinct from the known stochastic fraying of DNA termini, the κ B DNA barbed terminus emerges only at very long times (appearing at ≈ 750 ns, it is likely to be coupled to XSIS), and can stably persist for periods on the order of 100 ns. The fact that the barbed state reproducibly and independently arises over the course of the same trajectory (multiple ≈ 7.5 -Å plateaus in the blue trace of Fig. 7) bolsters its potential significance, although the biological significance of this DNA conformation is inherently limited by it being an “end effect.” Nonetheless, a barbed-like structure may be relevant from other perspectives, including the design of minor groove-binding agents (71), and in light of the numerous biochemical assays that rely upon the use of oligonucleotides not unlike the κ B DNA element studied here. The barbed κ B terminus suggests that addition of even up to three $G\bullet C$ pairs (Fig. 1) to reinforce a presumably weak terminus does not suffice to prevent such end-effects from occurring on the μ s timescale.

Validation of the trajectory and DNA backbone dynamics on the μ s timescale

The present work on κ B DNA focuses on the unexpected XSIS and base flipping events rather than a comprehensive analysis of the μ s-scale conformational dynamics of the DNA backbone or technical aspects of MD force field development. Nevertheless, methodological studies of force field shortcomings and parameterization are of immense concern and relevance in biomolecular MD simulations, and are the subject of much active investigation ((72) and references therein); our current simulation provides additional data for such studies, as well as a host of definite, testable predictions about a specific μ s-scale dynamical process that may be investigated by both theoretical and experimental means. Compared to NMR and crystallographic data, existing force fields are known to yield discrepancies between trajectory-averaged values of base pair and base pair step parameters such as the *Roll* (ρ) and *Twist* (ω ; (73)). In particular, MD-derived values of *Roll* are generally moderate overestimates ($\rho_{MD} \approx 4\text{--}5^\circ$, $\rho_{NMR} \approx 3^\circ$, $\rho_{X\text{-ray}} \approx 0^\circ$), while simulations typically underestimate the average *Twist* ($\omega_{MD} \approx 30^\circ$, $\omega_{NMR/X\text{-ray}} \approx 34^\circ$). The μ s-scale DNA trajectory reported here recapitulates these trends, both within the XSIS/flipping region (Fig. S6d) and at a distal site that effectively serves as an internal control for the behavior of these parameters (Fig. S6g). On a larger structural scale, two aspects of backbone dynamics identified as being particularly relevant based on ns-scale MD simulations are transitions between the B_I/B_{II} substates (74) and possibly insufficient (and/or imbalanced) sampling of long-lived backbone states arising from concerted (crankshaft) α/γ rotations (30,72,75). For instance, a concern in ns-scale DNA simulations is that the trajectory may improperly sample (or even become indefinitely trapped in) non-canonical regions of α/γ conformational space, leading to force field-dependent artifacts.

The α/γ and B_I/B_{II} sampling properties of the trajectory were examined, both to gain an initial understanding of the backbone dynamics of this κ B DNA on the μ s timescale, and also to gauge possible

artefactual sampling due to force field imbalances (*e.g.*, becoming trapped at non-canonical α/γ values for half of the trajectory). Two distinct (but interrelated) facets of the α/γ sampling issue involve (i) the relative frequencies of visiting various α/γ states (*i.e.*, free energy differences), such as the known $\alpha/\gamma \approx g^-/g^+ \approx (300^\circ, 30^\circ)$ energy minimum; and (ii) whether or not the backbone is able to interconvert between distinct (and possibly long-lived) α/γ states, including the canonical g^-/g^+ ground state in addition to g^+/t and g^-/t local minima (the latter being a ‘ γ ’ flip away from the global minimum). With regards to (i), it is reassuring that it is the g^-/g^+ ground state which is occupied by the only nucleotide to not undergo a single α/γ transition over the course of the entire μ s (Fig. 8a; interestingly, this is the X_{SIS} adenine). With regards to (ii), the κ B DNA trajectory shows extensive sampling of discrete basins of the α/γ conformational landscape on the μ s timescale, including reversible transitions to/from the g^-/g^+ energy minimum (Fig. 8b). Indeed, the most densely-populated region of this space is the known g^-/g^+ ground state, followed by the two regions (g^-/t and g^+/t) previously identified as local minima (75). Similarly, the $B_I \leftrightarrow B_{II}$ sampling behavior of the μ s-scale trajectory is consistent with existing principles. An angular histogram of the B_I/B_{II} torsional parameter ($\epsilon - \zeta$) for a nucleotide adjacent to the X_{SIS} position reveals extensive sampling near the favorable B_I state that is found in canonical *B*-form DNA ($\epsilon - \zeta \approx -90^\circ$), in addition to a smaller component corresponding to the slightly less favorable B_{II} state ($\epsilon - \zeta > 0^\circ$). The complete body of α/γ and B_I/B_{II} sampling data (*i.e.*, for all 38 nucleotides) indicates that the κ B DNA trajectory reasonably samples these backbone substates, and does not exhibit artefactual “trapping” in anomalous, high-energy states. Several features of κ B DNA backbone dynamics are in agreement with a recent μ s-scale dodecamer simulation (31), including the differential features of α/γ and B_I/B_{II} time series sampling for A·T and G·C bps (data not shown).

The overall μ s-scale structural integrity of the DNA duplex, as well as any systematic biases towards a particular helical morphology (ideal *A*-, *B*-, *etc.* forms) due to force field-specific limitations, were assessed by classifying the DNA conformation as either *A*, *B*, or *TA*-like at each timepoint. Such analysis of the μ s-scale trajectory in terms of the sampling of known DNA helical structures provides a form of simulation validation that lies beyond the local level of nucleotides and base pairs. The phosphate-based $z_P/z_P(h)$ metric has been shown to be a particularly effective discriminator between the *A*, *B*, and *TA*-like forms of DNA (76). Details of this quantity as a classifier of DNA structure are provided in Figs. 9 and S8 (see the arrows denoting *A*-, *B*-, and *TA*-like regions). Note that the most significantly perturbed helical steps in the X_{SIS} and flipping events ((AA/TT)₁₂ and (AT/AT)₁₃) are also the ones which exhibit multiple discrete clusters (green patches in Fig. 9b, purple in Fig. S8a); nevertheless, the preponderance of the trajectory is spent in the *B*-like regions of $z_P/z_P(h)$ space for each step of the κ B duplex (data not shown). These scatter plots demonstrate that the overall conformation of the duplex – *i.e.*, its structure at a slightly more global level than that addressed by geometric quantities such as local bp parameters and backbone torsion angles – is well-maintained throughout the μ s-long simulation, even at highly dynamical sites that exhibit the most significant structural variability (*i.e.*, X_{SIS} and flipping).

Also in connection with potential force field-induced artefactual behavior, note that the starting DNA structure was somewhat “distorted” in the region distal to the κ B site – *i.e.*, it was not perfectly identical to canonical *B*-form DNA (see, *e.g.*, Fig. 3a). However, it was found that the simulation effectively “regularized” this region of the DNA over a broad time span (≈ 350 -600 ns), yielding a duplex structure more similar to canonical *B*-form DNA than was the starting structure (see, *e.g.*, the 1→100 ns bundle in Fig. 3b and the depressed plateau in the RMSD traces of Fig. S3). This is a significant observation, as it effectively serves as an internal control of simulation quality and demonstrates that the trajectory was neither driven directly into a *B*-form helix by the force field, nor did it monotonically degrade into an artefact-ridden state capable of producing transitions such as X_{SIS} and base flipping.

Conclusions, and implications for NF- κ B···DNA recognition

The X_{SIS} and base flipping events spur great interest in potential links between these transitions and the exact mechanism(s) of NF- κ B··· κ B DNA recognition. What dictates the specificity of NF- κ B···DNA binding? A partial answer comes from recognition of the modular nature of NF- κ B-bound κ B elements:

Crystal structures (*e.g.*, (21)) show that one NF- κ B monomer typically forms most of the contacts to one κ B half site (*e.g.*, the 5' AGAA in Fig. 1a), while the other subunit primarily contacts the other half site. This implies a model of “cognate site recognition” (21), wherein the κ B DNA specificity of a given NF- κ B dimer is a composite of individual half site preferences. However, numerous exceptions to this model exist (the consensus sequence is weak), and NF- κ B is somewhat atypical in its interactions with DNA: (*i*) DNA-contacting side chains reside in the loops of NF- κ B (rather than secondary structure elements); (*ii*) many DNA contacts are mediated by water molecules (rather than direct side chain...base interactions; see, *e.g.*, (77)); and (*iii*) a large fraction of DNA contacts are to the phosphodiester backbone (rather than the sequence-specific grooves). Together with the biochemical and biophysical studies on which they are based, these principles suggest that the mechanism by which a particular NF- κ B dimer recognizes and binds to a specific κ B site is one of exquisitely subtle indirect readout.

A mechanistic basis for this readout is implied by the μ s-scale conformational properties of the κ B DNA element studied here: The cognate site recognition model should be broadened to account for the dynamical behavior of target κ B sites, including the possibility of transient base flipping. The occurrence of XSIS-facilitated flipping at the junction (N_0) of κ B half sites in the $A_4G_{-3}A_{-2}A_{-1}N_0T_{+1}T_{+2}C_{+3}C_{+4}$ element is extremely salient for several reasons. First, several features of known κ B sequences are consistent with the model proposed above for XSIS-based flipping, including the fact that the central positions ($-2 \rightarrow +2$) of naturally-occurring κ B elements are generally A/T-rich, in organisms ranging from insect (78) to human (79). Also, *in vitro* selection experiments for sequences which optimally bind to different NF- κ Bs recapitulate this trend, frequently finding a consecutive run of A/T's near the middle of the optimal κ B DNA sequence (80). Finally, systematic surveys of κ B sequence preferences *via* both array-based experiments and informatics analyses have revealed similar patterns of κ B elements with A-rich centers; most intriguingly, these analyses also found strong coupling between base pair positions 0 and +1 (*i.e.*, where the flipping occurs in our simulation).

Detailed conformational and dynamical properties of κ B DNA sequences likely modulate the binding of NF- κ B dimers to various κ B sites, as noted in crystallographic studies of an unbound class II κ B DNA (81). A similar perspective on the important role of dynamics originates in NMR studies of HIV κ B DNA (37). More generally, sequence-specific DNA curvature and flexibility have been suggested as essential in modulating affinity and specificity in other transcription factor systems, including, for example, the specific recognition profiles of TATA box-binding proteins for potential high-affinity DNA sites (82). Expanding upon this idea, a primary and experimentally-testable prediction of our μ s-scale MD studies is that base flipping may occur in κ B DNA systems, with a transiently extruded thymine playing a key role in indirect readout of a dynamical κ B recognition motif. Exploration of this possibility will likely deepen our understanding of the malleability of the DNA double helix, as well as the significance of such flexibility in mediating protein...DNA recognition in the NF- κ B gene regulatory network.

Supplementary Information

The following supporting material is available: (*i*) Methodological details and associated references; (*ii*) Additional figures and accompanying captions; and (*iii*) Video animations illustrating the XSIS, flipping, and barbing transitions, as well as an overview of the full μ s-long trajectory.

Acknowledgements

We thank L. Chen, L. Columbus, J. Dzubiella, J. Feigon, G. Ghosh, J. Gullingsrud, D. Hamelberg, P. Qin, J. Trylska, and L.D. Williams for helpful discussions, and R. Konecny (UCSD) for exceptional computer support. We gratefully acknowledge the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI), National Biomedical Computational Resource (NBCR), National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Science Foundation (NSF), and the NSF-funded Center for Theoretical Biological Physics for financial support (J.A.M.), as well as funding from a Sloan/DOE postdoctoral fellowship in the initial stages of this work (C.M.).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Overview of the κ B DNA element and simulation system. The μ s-scale dynamics of the κ B DNA element shown in this sequence schematic (a) were explored, the MD simulation system consisting of the 20-base pair duplex immersed in a bath of explicit water and 50 mM NaCl (b; dark blue line indicates perimeter of cut-away frontal slice). This particular κ B DNA sequence is bound by the (c-Rel)₂ NF- κ B homodimer, and is essentially a composite recognition element consisting of AGAA and TTCC κ B “half sites”. Much of the interesting structural and dynamical behavior of this A/T-rich duplex (A-rich regions are accentuated in red) arose within the nonameric κ B element (grey background in a, CPK spheres in b), including the cross-strand intercalative stacking (XSIS) and base flipping events.

Fig. 2. Conformational plasticity of the κ B DNA duplex on the ns and μ s timescales, as assessed by pairwise RMSD matrices. Matrices of pairwise coordinate RMSDs are shown for the first 10 ns of the trajectory (a) and over the course of the entire μ s-long production run (b). The first and last timesteps are indicated (e.g., in b, t_1 is the structure after exactly 1.0 ns of equilibration), as are trajectory sampling frequencies (δt) and the min/max RMSD values used for linearly-scaled coloring of matrix elements (color bars at right). Features of the heating and equilibration strategy are evident in the 10 ns matrix, including the imposition of restraints over the first \sim 400 ps (dark region in a), as well as subsequent drift towards a stable, equilibrated structure (intense yellow stripe); the submatrix corresponding to this initial 1-ns equilibration period is delimited by green lines.

Fig. 3. DNA polymorphism: A ‘barbed’ terminus, cross-strand intercalative stacking (‘XSIS’), and spontaneous base flipping. The XSIS, base flipping, and barbed terminus transitions are illustrated in these bundles of κ B DNA conformers, superimposed to a common reference structure over time spans ranging from the initial 1-ns equilibration period (a) and ensuing 100 ns of dynamics (b) to the final 320 ns of the 1,021-ns trajectory (c→f). Snapshots of the DNA backbone within each bundle are indicated as thin lines (equally spaced in time), and progress within each time series is indicated by grading of backbone colors from red (first) → grey → blue (last). Locally-averaged structures were computed (stick representation for non-hydrogen atoms and tan-colored backbone), as were the mean global helical axes (green spline); for clarity, base and deoxyribose rings are colored red (strand-1) and blue (strand-2), and bases of the 9-bp κ B element are rendered as spheres. Regions of greatest structural perturbation are also illustrated as space-filling spheres: (i) the cytosine of the “barbed” (G·C)₁ terminus (lavender); (ii) the sheared (A·T)₁₂ which is the focal point of the XSIS transition (yellow); and (iii) the neighboring (A·T)₁₃ thymine, which is extruded from the helical stack in the base flipping event (orange). Note that the persistence of the perturbed positions of the barbed (C_{2,20}), flipped (T_{2,8}), and staggered XSIS ((A·T)₁₂) bases at these sites – even in structures averaged over 100-ns blocks of time – indicates that these are stable, long-lived conformational states rather than transient, metastable intermediates in the DNA dynamics.

Fig. 4. Time-evolution and structural correlates of the XSIS transition. Time series of the base pair *Stagger* parameter (a) and minor groove widths (b) capture the structural changes accompanying XSIS. The *Stagger* (S_z) quantifies axial displacement of complementary bases with respect to the local bp reference frame, and therefore serves as an ideal descriptor of the XSIS transition; correlations between the XSIS bp ((A·T)₁₂; yellow) and the 5' neighboring pair ((A·T)₁₁; red) are evident in these S_z time series (a). Similarly, correlated disruptions of local groove geometry are evident in the trajectory of minor groove widths for bp steps near the XSIS site (b). Structures of the κ B DNA at various timepoints beyond \approx 750 ns illustrate (c) the onset of XSIS, (e) a fully-developed XSIS state, and (f) a (relatively infrequent) state exhibiting an extreme degree of XSIS and corresponding to a highly bent helical geometry. Local Na⁺ counterion populations are shown as semi-transparent density isosurfaces (d), averaged over either 1-ns (light green) or 10-ns (dark green) windows; the view into the major groove in the left half of (d) is identical to that in (c), with CPK spheres omitted for clarity. Conventions used in this and subsequent time series plots include: data are plotted in scatter form for only the latter half of the trajectory (sampled

every 5 ps) and only within ± 1 nucleotides of the sites of XSIS (yellow) and flipping (green); locally-averaged values (5-ns window) are drawn as solid lines; coloring and numbering conventions are provided in a topmost sequence schematic (bp steps are indicated by semicircular arcs); A/T-rich regions are accentuated by red coloring; and the κ B element is demarcated in grey.

Fig. 5: Cross-strand base overlap areas as a gauge of XSIS. The geometric area of overlap between the planes of nearest-neighbor bases provides a measure of the extent of stacking (*e.g.*, aromatic $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions). Of the four distinct intra- and inter-strand combinations for a base-paired dinucleotide step ($i_1/i_2, j_1/j_2, i_1/j_2, j_1/i_2$; see schematic inset), the diagonal terms serve as a particularly sensitive gauge of the degree of cross-strand stacking (*i.e.*, XSIS). The i_1/j_2 (**a**) and j_1/i_2 (**b**) values are nearly zero for a canonical (non-XSIS) step distal to the XSIS site [(GT/AC)₃; grey traces], affording an internal control over the latter 0.5 μ s of the trajectory. Raw values of the overlap area are shown as points (color-coded as in the legend), with 5-ns window-averaged values drawn as solid lines and local maxima (within a 5-ns neighborhood) indicated as step patterns. Note that both cross-strand terms capture the development of XSIS as an abrupt rise at ≈ 700 ns, with the reciprocity broken by a greater sensitivity of the i_1/j_2 term (**b**) to structural perturbations in the 600-700 ns range preceding XSIS.

Fig. 6. Correlated disruptions at the junction of κ B half sites: Resolution of XSIS via spontaneous base flipping. The spontaneous (A \circ T)₁₃ base flipping event at roughly 950 ns (≈ 200 ns after the onset of XSIS) can be characterized by the standard base pair *Opening* (σ) parameter (**a**), as well as by the distance between the complementary bases in a given pair (**b**). The latter measure (d_{COG}) is taken as the distance between the centers of geometry of the bases, and is calculated from the *Shear*, *Stretch*, and *Stagger* as $\sqrt{S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2}$. A sample structure of the flipped state is shown (**b**; inset), with the distance between the extrahelical base (T_{2,8}) and its cross-strand partner (A_{1,13}) indicated as a dashed magenta-colored line. Other diagrammatic and graphical conventions are as in Figs. 3, 4.

Fig. 7. A minor groove-bound ‘barbed’ terminus. A bp parameter suitable for monitoring the minor groove-bound barbed terminus is the translational *Stretch* (S_y) parameter plotted here (same diagrammatic conventions as elsewhere). S_y values for a bp distal to the barbed transition ((T \circ A)₄) are also plotted, as a representative example of a stable, unperturbed Watson-Crick bp (*i.e.*, an internal positive control). A representative structure from the first occurrence of the barbing transition (between 740 \rightarrow 850-ns) is shown. Note that the barbed transition can be detected as an initial shift in S_y away from the near-zero value of an ideal Watson-Crick pair, and the structural and dynamical stability of this state (versus, *e.g.*, stochastic fraying events) can be inferred from persistence of the new S_y value at a constant value over extended lengths of time (the ≈ 7.5 Å plateau in the blue trace).

Fig. 8. DNA backbone dynamics on the μ s timescale: Extensive sampling of α/γ and B_I/B_{II} conformational substates. Values of backbone α/γ torsion angles are shown as scatter plots for the two indicated nucleotides, color-coded by progress along the μ s-scale trajectory (color bar). The backbone at A_{1,12} (**a**) persists in the energetically-favorable $\alpha/\gamma \approx g^-/g^+$ basin for the full length of the trajectory, while multiple transitions between this ground state and a higher-energy (but locally minimal) g^+/t state can be seen to occur at G_{1,19} (**b**). An angular histogram of B_I/B_{II} torsional parameter values (**c**) at the A_{1,11} site (proximal to XSIS) shows preferential sampling of the canonical B_I state ($\epsilon - \zeta \approx -90^\circ$); the bimodal distribution also reveals a minute population of B_{II} state ($\epsilon - \zeta > 0^\circ$; green arrow).

Fig. 9. DNA backbone dynamics at the junction of κ B half sites: Equilibrium sampling of canonical A-, B-, and TA-like helical morphologies. Values of the phosphate-based $z_p/z_p(h)$ metric are shown for four base pair steps (11 \rightarrow 14, **a** \rightarrow **d**) which overlap the XSIS/flipping locus between κ B half sites. As in Fig. 8, data over the full μ s are plotted as temporally-colored points. The maximal extent of sampling is illustrated by the convex hull (orange line), and regions characteristic of A, B, and TA-like DNA (arrows in **a**) are demarcated by dashed cyan lines.

References


1. Mills, J.B. and Hagerman, P.J. (2004) Origin of the intrinsic rigidity of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**, 4055-4059.
2. Allison, S., Chen, C. and Stigter, D. (2001) The length dependence of translational diffusion, free solution electrophoretic mobility, and electrophoretic tether force of rigid rod-like model duplex DNA. *Biophys J*, **81**, 2558-2568.
3. Bloomfield, V., Crothers, D. and Tinoco, I. (2000) *Nucleic Acids: Structures, Properties, and Functions*. University Science Books, Sausalito.
4. Wells, R.D. (2007) Non-B DNA conformations, mutagenesis and disease. *Trends in biochemical sciences*, **32**, 271-278.
5. Dickerson, R.E. (1983) The DNA Helix and How It Is Read. *Scientific American*, **249**, 94-&.
6. Koudelka, G.B., Mauro, S.A. and Ciubotaru, M. (2006) Indirect readout of DNA sequence by proteins: the roles of DNA sequence-dependent intrinsic and extrinsic forces. *Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology*, **81**, 143-177.
7. Wang, A.H., Quigley, G.J., Kolpak, F.J., Crawford, J.L., van Boom, J.H., van der Marel, G. and Rich, A. (1979) Molecular structure of a left-handed double helical DNA fragment at atomic resolution. *Nature*, **282**, 680-686.
8. Wing, R., Drew, H., Takano, T., Broka, C., Tanaka, S., Itakura, K. and Dickerson, R.E. (1980) Crystal structure analysis of a complete turn of B-DNA. *Nature*, **287**, 755-758.
9. Shui, X.Q., McFail-Isom, L., Hu, G.G. and Williams, L.D. (1998) The B-DNA dodecamer at high resolution reveals a spine of water on sodium. *Biochemistry*, **37**, 8341-8355.
10. Chiu, T.K., Kaczor-Grzeskowiak, M. and Dickerson, R.E. (1999) Absence of minor groove monovalent cations in the crosslinked dodecamer C-G-C-G-A-A-T-T-C-G-C-G. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **292**, 589-608.
11. Hamelberg, D., Williams, L.D. and Wilson, W.D. (2001) Influence of the dynamic positions of cations on the structure of the DNA minor groove: sequence-dependent effects. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **123**, 7745-7755.
12. Ponomarev, S.Y., Thayer, K.M. and Beveridge, D.L. (2004) Ion motions in molecular dynamics simulations on DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **101**, 14771-14775.
13. Olson, W.K., Bansal, M., Burley, S.K., Dickerson, R.E., Gerstein, M., Harvey, S.C., Heinemann, U., Lu, X.J., Neidle, S., Shakked, Z. *et al.* (2001) A standard reference frame for the description of nucleic acid base-pair geometry. *J Mol Biol*, **313**, 229-237.
14. Roberts, R.J. (1995) On base flipping. *Cell*, **82**, 9-12.
15. Roberts, R.J. and Cheng, X. (1998) Base flipping. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **67**, 181-198.
16. Adcock, S.A. and McCammon, J.A. (2006) Molecular dynamics: Survey of methods for simulating the activity of proteins. *Chemical reviews*, **106**, 1589-1615.
17. Spöner, J. and Lankas, F. (2006) *Computational studies of RNA and DNA*. Springer, Dordrecht.
18. Hoffmann, A., Natoli, G. and Ghosh, G. (2006) Transcriptional regulation via the NF-kappaB signaling module. *Oncogene*, **25**, 6706-6716.
19. Phelps, C.B., Sengchanthalangsy, L.L., Malek, S. and Ghosh, G. (2000) Mechanism of kappa B DNA binding by Rel/NF-kappa B dimers. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, **275**, 24392-24399.
20. Huang, D.B., Phelps, C.B., Fusco, A.J. and Ghosh, G. (2005) Crystal structure of a free kappa B DNA: Insights into DNA recognition by transcription factor NF-kappa B. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **346**, 147-160.
21. Huang, D.B., Chen, Y.Q., Ruetsche, M., Phelps, C.B. and Ghosh, G. (2001) X-ray crystal structure of proto-oncogene product c-Rel bound to the CD28 response element of IL-2. *Structure*, **9**, 669-678.
22. Jorgensen, W.L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J.D., Impey, R.W. and Klein, M.L. (1983) Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **79**, 926-935.
23. Phelps, C.B. and Ghosh, G. (2004) Discreet mutations from c-Rel to v-Rel alter kappaB DNA recognition, IkappaBalpha binding, and dimerization: implications for v-Rel oncogenicity. *Oncogene*, **23**, 1229-1238.
24. Frenkel, D. and Smit, B. (2001) *Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications*. Academic Press, Amsterdam.
25. Feller, S.E., Zhang, Y.H., Pastor, R.W. and Brooks, B.R. (1995) Constant-Pressure Molecular-Dynamics Simulation - the Langevin Piston Method. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **103**, 4613-4621.
26. Essmann, U., Perera, L., Berkowitz, M.L., Darden, T., Lee, H. and Pedersen, L.G. (1995) A Smooth Particle Mesh Ewald Method. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **103**, 8577-8593.
27. Ryckaert, J.P., Ciccotti, G. and Berendsen, H.J.C. (1977) Numerical-Integration of Cartesian Equations of Motion of a System with Constraints - Molecular-Dynamics of N-Alkanes. *Journal of Computational Physics*, **23**, 327-341.

28. Tuckerman, M., Berne, B.J. and Martyna, G.J. (1992) Reversible Multiple Time Scale Molecular-Dynamics. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **97**, 1990-2001.
29. Phillips, J.C., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R.D., Kale, L. and Schulten, K. (2005) Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, **26**, 1781-1802.
30. Varnai, P. and Zakrzewska, K. (2004) DNA and its counterions: a molecular dynamics study. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, 4269-4280.
31. Perez, A., Luque, F.J. and Orozco, M. (2007) Dynamics of B-DNA on the microsecond time scale. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **129**, 14739-14745.
32. Auffinger, P., Cheatham, T.E. and Vaiana, A.C. (2007) Spontaneous formation of KCl aggregates in biomolecular simulations: A force field issue? *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, **3**, 1851-1859.
33. Beveridge, D.L., Barreiro, G., Byun, K.S., Case, D.A., Cheatham, T.E., Dixit, S.B., Giudice, E., Lankas, F., Lavery, R., Maddocks, J.H. *et al.* (2004) Molecular dynamics simulations of the 136 unique tetranucleotide sequences of DNA oligonucleotides. I. Research design and results on d(C(p)G) steps. *Biophysical Journal*, **87**, 3799-3813.
34. Harvey, S.C. (1983) DNA structural dynamics: longitudinal breathing as a possible mechanism for the B in equilibrium Z transition. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **11**, 4867-4878.
35. Harris, S.A., Sands, Z.A. and Lughton, C.A. (2005) Molecular dynamics simulations of duplex stretching reveal the importance of entropy in determining the biomechanical properties of DNA. *Biophys J*, **88**, 1684-1691.
36. Lu, X.J. and Olson, W.K. (2003) 3DNA: a software package for the analysis, rebuilding and visualization of three-dimensional nucleic acid structures. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **31**, 5108-5121.
37. Tisne, C., Hantz, E., Hartmann, B. and Delepierre, M. (1998) Solution structure of a non-palindromic 16 base-pair DNA related to the HIV-1 kappa B site: evidence for BI-BII equilibrium inducing a global dynamic curvature of the duplex. *J Mol Biol*, **279**, 127-142.
38. Nelson, H.C., Finch, J.T., Luisi, B.F. and Klug, A. (1987) The structure of an oligo(dA).oligo(dT) tract and its biological implications. *Nature*, **330**, 221-226.
39. Timsit, Y., Vilbois, E. and Moras, D. (1991) Base-pairing shift in the major groove of (CA)_n tracts by B-DNA crystal structures. *Nature*, **354**, 167-170.
40. Prive, G.G., Heinemann, U., Chandrasegaran, S., Kan, L.S., Kopka, M.L. and Dickerson, R.E. (1987) Helix geometry, hydration, and G.A mismatch in a B-DNA decamer. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **238**, 498-504.
41. Chou, S.H., Cheng, J.W. and Reid, B.R. (1992) Solution structure of [d(ATGAGCGAATA)]₂. Adjacent G:A mismatches stabilized by cross-strand base-stacking and BII phosphate groups. *J Mol Biol*, **228**, 138-155.
42. Chou, S.H., Zhu, L. and Reid, B.R. (1994) The unusual structure of the human centromere (GGA)₂ motif. Unpaired guanosine residues stacked between sheared G.A pairs. *J Mol Biol*, **244**, 259-268.
43. Priyakumar, U.D. and MacKerell, A.D., Jr. (2006) Computational approaches for investigating base flipping in oligonucleotides. *Chemical reviews*, **106**, 489-505.
44. Bernet, J., Zakrzewska, K. and Lavery, R. (1997) Modelling base pair opening: the role of helical twist. *Theochem-Journal of Molecular Structure*, **398**, 473-482.
45. Banavali, N.K. and MacKerell, A.D., Jr. (2002) Free energy and structural pathways of base flipping in a DNA GCGC containing sequence. *J Mol Biol*, **319**, 141-160.
46. Spies, M.A. and Schowen, R.L. (2002) The trapping of a spontaneously "flipped-out" base from double helical nucleic acids by host-guest complexation with beta-cyclodextrin: the intrinsic base-flipping rate constant for DNA and RNA. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **124**, 14049-14053.
47. Gueron, M. and Leroy, J.L. (1995) Studies of base pair kinetics by NMR measurement of proton exchange. *Methods in enzymology*, **261**, 383-413.
48. Coman, D. and Russu, I.M. (2005) A nuclear magnetic resonance investigation of the energetics of basepair opening pathways in DNA. *Biophys J*, **89**, 3285-3292.
49. Han, G.W., Kopka, M.L., Langs, D., Sawaya, M.R. and Dickerson, R.E. (2003) Crystal structure of an RNA.DNA hybrid reveals intermolecular intercalation: dimer formation by base-pair swapping. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **100**, 9214-9219.
50. Bates, D.L., Barthel, K.K.B., Wu, Y.Q., Kalhor, R., Stroud, J.C., Giffin, M.J. and Chen, L. (2008) Crystal structure of NFAT bound to the HIV-1 LTR tandem kappa B enhancer element. *Structure*, **16**, 684-694.
51. Cao, C., Jiang, Y.L., Stivers, J.T. and Song, F. (2004) Dynamic opening of DNA during the enzymatic search for a damaged base. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, **11**, 1230-1236.


52. Cubero, E., Sherer, E.C., Luque, F.J., Orozco, M. and Laughton, C.A. (1999) Observation of spontaneous base pair breathing events in the molecular dynamics simulation of a difluorotoluene-containing DNA oligonucleotide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **121**, 8653-8654.
53. Horton, J.R., Ratner, G., Banavali, N.K., Huang, N., Choi, Y., Maier, M.A., Marquez, V.E., MacKerell, A.D., Jr. and Cheng, X. (2004) Caught in the act: visualization of an intermediate in the DNA base-flipping pathway induced by HhaI methyltransferase. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, 3877-3886.
54. Varnai, P. and Lavery, R. (2002) Base flipping in DNA: pathways and energetics studied with molecular dynamic simulations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **124**, 7272-7273.
55. Bouvier, B. and Grubmuller, H. (2007) A molecular dynamics study of slow base flipping in DNA using conformational flooding. *Biophys J*, **93**, 770-786.
56. Ramstein, J. and Lavery, R. (1988) Energetic coupling between DNA bending and base pair opening. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **85**, 7231-7235.
57. Snoussi, K. and Leroy, J.L. (2002) Alteration of A center dot T base-pair opening kinetics by the ammonium cation in DNA A-tracts. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 12467-12474.
58. Leijon, M. and Graslund, A. (1992) Effects of Sequence and Length on Imino Proton-Exchange and Base Pair Opening Kinetics in DNA Oligonucleotide Duplexes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **20**, 5339-5343.
59. Cheng, X. and Roberts, R.J. (2001) AdoMet-dependent methylation, DNA methyltransferases and base flipping. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **29**, 3784-3795.
60. Stivers, J.T. (2004) Site-specific DNA damage recognition by enzyme-induced base flipping. *Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology*, **77**, 37-65.
61. Porschke, D. (1974) Model Calculations on Kinetics of Oligonucleotide Double Helix Coil Transitions - Evidence for a Fast Chain Sliding Reaction. *Biophysical Chemistry*, **2**, 83-96.
62. Neher, R.A. and Gerland, U. (2004) Dynamics of force-induced DNA slippage. *Physical Review Letters*, **93**, -.
63. Wattis, J.A. (2004) Nonlinear breathing modes due to a defect in a DNA chain. *Philosophical transactions*, **362**, 1461-1477.
64. O'Neil, L.L. and Wiest, O. (2005) A selective, noncovalent assay for base flipping in DNA. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **127**, 16800-16801.
65. Andreatta, D., Perez Lustres, J.L., Kovalenko, S.A., Ernsting, N.P., Murphy, C.J., Coleman, R.S. and Berg, M.A. (2005) Power-law solvation dynamics in DNA over six decades in time. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **127**, 7270-7271.
66. O'Neill, M.A. and Barton, J.K. (2004), *Long-Range Charge Transfer in DNA I*, Vol. 236, pp. 67-115.
67. Shao, F., Augustyn, K. and Barton, J.K. (2005) Sequence dependence of charge transport through DNA domains. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **127**, 17445-17452.
68. Cieplak, P., Cheatham, T.E. and Kollman, P.A. (1997) Molecular dynamics simulations find that 3' phosphoramidate modified DNA duplexes undergo a B to A transition and normal DNA duplexes an A to B transition. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **119**, 6722-6730.
69. Edfeldt, N.B., Harwood, E.A., Sigurdsson, S.T., Hopkins, P.B. and Reid, B.R. (2004) Solution structure of a nitrous acid induced DNA interstrand cross-link. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, 2785-2794.
70. Feig, M., Zacharias, M. and Pettitt, B.M. (2001) Conformations of an adenine bulge in a DNA octamer and its influence on DNA structure from molecular dynamics simulations. *Biophys J*, **81**, 352-370.
71. Wemmer, D.E. (2000) Designed sequence-specific minor groove ligands. *Annual review of biophysics and biomolecular structure*, **29**, 439-461.
72. Perez, A., Marchan, I., Svozil, D., Spöner, J., Cheatham, T.E., 3rd, Laughton, C.A. and Orozco, M. (2007) Refinement of the AMBER force field for nucleic acids: improving the description of alpha/gamma conformers. *Biophys J*, **92**, 3817-3829.
73. Olson, W.K. and Zhurkin, V.B. (2000) Modeling DNA deformations. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **10**, 286-297.
74. Djuranovic, D. and Hartmann, B. (2004) DNA fine structure and dynamics in crystals and in solution: the impact of BI/BII backbone conformations. *Biopolymers*, **73**, 356-368.
75. Varnai, P., Djuranovic, D., Lavery, R. and Hartmann, B. (2002) Alpha/gamma transitions in the B-DNA backbone. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **30**, 5398-5406.
76. Lu, X.J., Shakked, Z. and Olson, W.K. (2000) A-form conformational motifs in ligand-bound DNA structures. *J Mol Biol*, **300**, 819-840.
77. Cramer, P., Larson, C.J., Verdine, G.L. and Muller, C.W. (1997) Structure of the human NF-kappaB p52 homodimer-DNA complex at 2.1 Å resolution. *The EMBO journal*, **16**, 7078-7090.
78. Cramer, P., Varrot, A., Barillas-Mury, C., Kafatos, F.C. and Muller, C.W. (1999) Structure of the specificity domain of the Dorsal homologue Gambif1 bound to DNA. *Structure*, **7**, 841-852.

79. Chen-Park, F.E., Huang, D.B., Noro, B., Thanos, D. and Ghosh, G. (2002) The kappa B DNA sequence from the HIV long terminal repeat functions as an allosteric regulator of HIV transcription. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, **277**, 24701-24708.
80. Kunsch, C., Ruben, S.M. and Rosen, C.A. (1992) Selection of optimal kappa B/Rel DNA-binding motifs: interaction of both subunits of NF-kappa B with DNA is required for transcriptional activation. *Molecular and cellular biology*, **12**, 4412-4421.
81. Huang, D.B., Phelps, C.B., Fusco, A.J. and Ghosh, G. (2005) Crystal structure of a free kappaB DNA: insights into DNA recognition by transcription factor NF-kappaB. *J Mol Biol*, **346**, 147-160.
82. de Souza, O.N. and Ornstein, R.L. (1998) Inherent DNA curvature and flexibility correlate with TATA box functionality. *Biopolymers*, **46**, 403-415.


Mura & McCammon, Figure 1




Mura & McCammon, Figure 2




Mura & McCammon, Figure 3




Mura & McCammon, Figure 5




Mura & McCammon, Figure 4




Mura & McCammon, Figure 6



Mura & McCammon, Figure 7



Mura & McCammon, Figure 8



Mura & McCammon, Figure 9

